1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JOSE DEJESUS RODRIGUEZ,	No. 2:15-cv-0158 TLN GGH PS	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	VERONICA VEGA,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action. This proceeding was		
18	referred to this court by E.D. Cal. L.R. 302(c)(21), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).		
19	On February 20, 2015, the court ordered the United States Marshal to serve process upon		
20	defendant San Joaquin County Human Services Agency in this case within ninety days. The		
21	waiver of service form indicates that this defendant was notified that failure to waive service of		
22	summons would result in a requirement that defendant bear costs of such service unless it shows		
23	good cause for failure to return the waiver. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d)(1); 28 U.S.C. §566(c).		
24	However, on March 4, 2015, plaintiff filed an amended complaint which did not name San		
25	Joaquin County Human Services Agency as a defendant, but named only defendant Vega. (ECF		
26	No. 8.) On March 9, 2015, the court screened the first amended complaint, and ordered service		
27	on defendant Vega. The order specifically stated, "[t]his action will no longer proceed against		
28	defendant San Joaquin County Human Services Agency. (ECF No. 9 at 2.)		
		1	

1	On August 18, 2015, the United States Marshal filed a return of service with a USM-285	
2	form showing total charges of \$185.20 for effecting personal service on defendant San Joaquin	
3	County Human Services Agency. (ECF No. 25.) The form shows that a waiver of service form	
4	was mailed to this defendant on March 7, 2015, and that no response was received. Personal	
5	service was effectuated on August 18, 2015. (ECF No. 24.) The U.S. Marshal has filed a request	
6	for reimbursement of costs for personal service.	
7	Rule 4(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides, in pertinent part, as follows:	
8 9	An individual, corporation, or association that is subject to service under Rule 4(e), (f), or (h) has a duty to avoid unnecessary expenses of serving the summons	
10	If a defendant located within the United States fails, without good	
11	cause, to sign and return a waiver requested by a plaintiff located within the United States, the court must impose on the defendant:	
12	(A) the expenses later incurred in making service; and	
13		
14	(B) the reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, of any motion required to collect those service expenses.	
15	Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d)(1), (2)(A), (B).	
16	The U.S. Marshal was initially provided ninety days to effectuate service, which should	
17	have been completed on or around May 21, 2015, not almost three months later. Nevertheless, it	
18	also appears that plaintiff did not submit the forms for service in accordance with the court's	
19	February 20, 2015 or March 9, 2015 orders. In any event, the March 9, 2015 order superseded	
20	the earlier service order, and San Joaquin County Human Services Agency was no longer a	
21	named defendant at the time the U.S. Marshal served it, over five months later. Although notice	
22	of defendant dismissal to the United States Marshal would have been desirable, the court is sure	
23	that the Marshal does not desire service of every court order in every case in an abundance of	
24	caution just in case the events of a case might have made service unnecessary. The court does not	
25	find the Marshal's office at fault for not closely reading the entire (and somewhat confusing)	
26	docket, and therefore not being apprised of the dismissal, but on the other hand, former defendant	
27	County of San Joaquin etc., knowing that it was a defendant no longer, is not at fault either.	
28	Under these circumstances, the court declines to award costs to the U.S. Marshal.	
	2	

1	Despite the court's docket indicating that an answer is due from the San Joaquin County	
2	Human Services Agency, (ECF No. 24), no responsive pleading is required pursuant to the	
3	court's March 9, 2015 order.	
4	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
5	1. The Request for Reimbursement of Costs, filed by the U.S. Marshal on August 18,	
6	2015 (ECF No. 25), is denied.	
7	2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to serve a copy of this order on the U.S. Marshal.	
8	Dated: August 20, 2015	
9	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows	
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
11		
12		
13	GGH:076/Rodr0158.usmcost	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	3	