

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 JEFFREY LAMONT TAYLOR,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 MINTZ, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16
17

No. 2:15-cv-0176 CKD P

ORDER

18 I. Introduction

19 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se. Plaintiff seeks relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C.
20 § 1983 and has requested leave to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. This
21 proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

22 Plaintiff has submitted a declaration that makes the showing required by 28 U.S.C.
23 §1915(a). Accordingly, the request to proceed in forma pauperis will be granted.

24 Plaintiff is required to pay the statutory filing fee of \$350.00 for this action. 28 U.S.C. §§
25 1914(a), 1915(b)(1). By separate order, the court will direct the appropriate agency to collect the
26 initial partial filing fee from plaintiff's trust account and forward it to the Clerk of the Court.
27 Thereafter, plaintiff will be obligated for monthly payments of twenty percent of the preceding
28

1 month's income credited to plaintiff's prison trust account. These payments will be forwarded by
2 the appropriate agency to the Clerk of the Court each time the amount in plaintiff's account
3 exceeds \$10.00, until the filing fee is paid in full. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2).

4 II. Screening

5 The court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief against a
6 governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The
7 court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if the prisoner has raised claims that are legally
8 "frivolous or malicious," that fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or that seek
9 monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1),(2).

10 A claim is legally frivolous when it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact.
11 Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221, 1227-28 (9th
12 Cir. 1984). The court may, therefore, dismiss a claim as frivolous where it is based on an
13 indisputably meritless legal theory or where the factual contentions are clearly baseless. Neitzke,
14 490 U.S. at 327. The critical inquiry is whether a constitutional claim, however inartfully
15 pleaded, has an arguable legal and factual basis. See Jackson v. Arizona, 885 F.2d 639, 640 (9th
16 Cir. 1989); Franklin, 745 F.2d at 1227.

17 Fed. R. Civ. P. 8 sets forth general rules of notice pleading in the federal courts. See
18 Swierkiewicz v. Sorema, 534 U.S. 506 (2002). Complaints are required to set a forth (1) the
19 grounds upon which the court's jurisdiction rests, (2) a short and plain statement of the claim
20 showing entitlement to relief; and (3) a demand for the relief plaintiff seeks. Rule 8 requires only
21 "sufficient allegations to put defendants fairly on notice of the claims against them." McKeever
22 v. Block, 932 F.2d 795, 798 (9th Cir. 1991). Even if the factual elements of the cause of action
23 are present, but are scattered throughout the complaint and are not organized into a "short and
24 plain statement of the claim," dismissal for failure to satisfy Rule 8(a)(2) is proper. McHenry v.
25 Renne, 84 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 1996).

26 Here, plaintiff's complaint – which consists of a pleading form and hundreds of pages of
27 exhibits – does not meet the Rule 8 requirement of a "short and plain statement of the claim." It
28 is not clear what claim or claims plaintiff is attempting to assert, what relief he is seeking, or what

1 facts he is alleging in support.

2 For these reasons, plaintiff's complaint will be dismissed and he will be granted one
3 opportunity to amend. In an amended complaint plaintiff should not raise many unrelated claims,
4 but rather focus on a few specific claims and describe the actions of the individual defendants.

5 If plaintiff chooses to amend the complaint, plaintiff must demonstrate how the conditions
6 complained of have resulted in a deprivation of plaintiff's constitutional rights. See Ellis v.
7 Cassidy, 625 F.2d 227 (9th Cir. 1980). Also, the complaint must allege in specific terms how
8 each named defendant is involved. There can be no liability under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 unless there
9 is some affirmative link or connection between a defendant's actions and the claimed deprivation.
10 Rizzo v. Goode, 423 U.S. 362 (1976); May v. Enomoto, 633 F.2d 164, 167 (9th Cir. 1980);
11 Johnson v. Duffy, 588 F.2d 740, 743 (9th Cir. 1978). Furthermore, vague and conclusory
12 allegations of official participation in civil rights violations are not sufficient. Ivey v. Board of
13 Regents, 673 F.2d 266, 268 (9th Cir. 1982).

14 In addition, plaintiff is informed that the court cannot refer to a prior pleading in order to
15 make plaintiff's amended complaint complete. Local Rule 220 requires that an amended
16 complaint be complete in itself without reference to any prior pleading. This is because, as a
17 general rule, an amended complaint supersedes the original complaint. See Loux v. Rhay, 375
18 F.2d 55, 57 (9th Cir. 1967). Once plaintiff files an amended complaint, the original pleading no
19 longer serves any function in the case. Therefore, in an amended complaint, as in an original
20 complaint, each claim and the involvement of each defendant must be sufficiently alleged.

21 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

22 1. Plaintiff's request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis is granted.

23 2. Plaintiff is obligated to pay the statutory filing fee of \$350.00 for this action. All fees
24 shall be collected and paid in accordance with this court's order to the Director of the California
25 Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation filed concurrently herewith.

26 3. Plaintiff's complaint is dismissed; and

27 4. Plaintiff is granted thirty days from the date of service of this order to file an amended
28 complaint that complies with the requirements of the Civil Rights Act, the Federal Rules of Civil

1 Procedure, and the Local Rules of Practice; the amended complaint must bear the docket number
2 assigned this case and must be labeled "Amended Complaint"; plaintiff must file an original and
3 two copies of the amended complaint; failure to file an amended complaint in accordance with
4 this order will result in dismissal of this action.

5 Dated: March 23, 2015



CAROLYN K. DELANEY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11 2 / tayl0176.14new