UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
ROBERT SUPANICH, et al.,	No. 2:15-cv-202-JAM-KJN PS
Plaintiffs,	
v.	<u>ORDER</u>
YVONNE NED,	
Defendant.	
On January 28, 2015, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations (ECF No.	
3), which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the	
findings and recommendations were to be file	ed within fourteen (14) days. No objections were
filed.	
Accordingly, the court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United	
States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). Th	ne magistrate judge's conclusions of law are
reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir.	
1983).	
The court has reviewed the applicable	e legal standards and, good cause appearing,
concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the findings and recommendations in full. Accordingly,	
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
1. The findings and recommendation	
	1
	FOR THE EASTERN ROBERT SUPANICH, et al., Plaintiffs, v. YVONNE NED, Defendant. On January 28, 2015, the magistrate j 3), which were served on the parties and whi findings and recommendations were to be file filed. Accordingly, the court presumes that <u>States</u> , 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). Th reviewed de novo. <u>See Britt v. Simi Valley U</u> 1983). The court has reviewed the applicable concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the file IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1	2. The action is REMANDED to the Sacramento County Superior Court.
2	3. The Clerk of Court shall serve a certified copy of this order on the Clerk of the
3	Sacramento County Superior Court, and reference the state case number (14UD09746) in the
4	proof of service.
5	4. The Clerk of Court shall vacate all dates and close this case.
6	DATED: March 5, 2015
7	/s/ John A. Mendez
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2