

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NATHAN MAULDING,
Plaintiff,
v.
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
GENERAL, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-252-MCE-EFB P

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff is a federal inmate proceeding without counsel in a civil rights action. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

On August 26, 2015, the court dismissed the original complaint with leave to amend. That order explained the complaint’s deficiencies and granted plaintiff thirty days in which to file an amended complaint. By an order filed October 7, 2015, plaintiff was granted an additional thirty days in which to file his amended complaint. The time for acting has passed and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court’s order.

A party’s failure to comply with any order or with the Local Rules “may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the inherent power of the Court.” E.D. Cal. Local Rule 110. The court may dismiss an action with or without prejudice, as appropriate, if a party disobeys an order or the Local Rules. *See Ferdik v.*

1 *Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1263 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did not abuse discretion in
2 dismissing pro se plaintiff’s complaint for failing to obey an order to re-file an amended
3 complaint to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); *Carey v. King*, 856 F.2d 1439,
4 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for pro se plaintiff’s failure to comply with local rule
5 regarding notice of change of address affirmed).

6 Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed. Fed. R. Civ. P.
7 41(b); E. D. Cal. Local Rule 110.

8 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
9 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days
10 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
11 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
12 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the
13 objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The
14 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to
15 appeal the District Court’s order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez*
16 *v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

17 Dated: November 16, 2015.

18 
19 EDMUND F. BRENNAN
20 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28