1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RADFORD DARRELL SMITH,	No. 2:15-cv-279-EFB P
12	Petitioner,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	
15	CALIFORNIA,	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	On March 11, 2015, the above-captioned case was dismissed without prejudice for failure	
19	to exhaust state remedies. Judgment was entered accordingly. On March 13, 2015, petitioner	
20	filed a document entitled "Motion to Stay State Court Fugitive Hearing." The court takes no	
21	action on petitioner's filing as this case is now closed. Petitioner is hereby informed that the	
22	court will not respond to future filings in this action that are not authorized by the Federal Rules	
23	of Civil Procedure or the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.	
24	So ordered.	
25	Dated: March 19, 2015.	mund F. Biems
26	EDMUND F. BRENNAN	
27		STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		