1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	VESTER L. PATTERSON,	1:15-cv-00172-BAM (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE	
14	JEFFERY BEARD, et al.,	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42		
18	U.S.C. §1983, along with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.		
19	In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged		
20	violations took place in Amador County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United		
21	States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the complaint should have		
22	been filed in the Sacramento Division.		
2324	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper		
25	court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action		
26	will be transferred to the Sacramento Divisio	n. This court will not rule on plaintiff's request to	
27	proceed in forma pauperis.		
28	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
_0	1. This action is transferred to the Ur	nited States District Court for the Eastern District of	

1	California sitting in Sacramento; and	
2	2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be	
3	filed at:	
4	United States District Court Eastern District of California	
5	501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814	
6	3. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
7		
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9		
10	Dated: February 4, 2015 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	d	