1 2 3 4	Linda Claxton (SBN 125729) linda.claxton@ogletreedeakins.com OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOA 400 South Hope Street, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 213.239.9800 Facsimile: 213.239.9045	AK & STEWART, P.C.	
5 6 7 8 9 10	Christopher M. Ahearn (SBN 239089) chris.ahearn@ogletreedeakins.com Rachel J. Moroski (SBN 286805) rachel.moroski@ogletreedeakins.com OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOA Steuart Tower, Suite 1300 One Market Plaza San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: 415.442.4810 Facsimile: 415.442.4870	AK & STEWART, P.C.	
 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	Attorneys for Defendant ADT LLC Alan Harris (SBN 146079) aharris@harrisandruble.com Priya Mohan (SBN 228984) pmohan@harrisandruble.com HARRIS & RUBLE 655 North Central Avenue, 17th Floor Glendale, California 91203 Telephone: 323.962.3777 Facsimile: 323.962.3004 David S. Harris (SBN 215224) NORTH BAY LAW GROUP 116 E. Blithedale Avenue, Suite #2 Mill Valley, California 92941-2024 Telephone: 415.388.8788 Facsimile: 415.388.8770		
20	Attorneys for Plaintiff RICARDO CASTILLO		
21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
22	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
23	RICARDO CASTILLO, individually	Case No. 2:15-cv-00383-WBS-DAD	
24	and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	STIPULATION TO FILING OF	
25	Plaintiff,	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT; [PROPOSED] ORDER	
26 27	v. ADT LLC and DOES 1–100, inclusive,	[NOTICE OF LODGING [PROPOSED] FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT concurrently filed]	
28	Defendants.	Complaint Filed: February 17, 2015 Trial Date: October 18, 2016	
	Case No. 2:15-cv-00383-WBS-DAD STIPULATION RE: FILING OF FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT		
	II OLATION NE. TILINO OF TINST AWIENDED COWITLAINT		

Plaintiff Ricardo Castillo (hereinafter, "Plaintiff") and Defendant ADT LLC 1 (hereinafter, "Defendant") (collectively, the "Parties") hereby jointly stipulate as 2 3 follows:

4 WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed the original complaint in this action in the above 5 referenced Court on February 17, 2015;

6

WHEREAS, Plaintiff asserts that pursuant to California Labor Code section 2699.3(a)(1), on or about February 27, 2015, Plaintiff gave written notice by 7 8 certified mail to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency 9 ("LWDA") and Defendant of the specific provisions of the California Labor Code alleged to have been violated by Defendant, including the facts and theories set forth 10 in the original Complaint, and requested permission to pursue civil penalties against 11 12 Defendant under the Labor Code Private Attorney Generals Act, California Labor 13 Code section 2698, et seq. ("LCPAGA");

14 WHEREAS, Plaintiff asserts that more than thirty three days have passed 15 since Plaintiff gave notice to Defendant and the LWDA as described above;

16 WHEREAS, pursuant to section 2699.33 of the California Labor Code, 17 Plaintiff may amend the existing complaint to clarify that he is pursuing a cause of action arising under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act; 18

19 WHEREAS, Defendant is willing to stipulate Plaintiff's proposed amendment, provided that such stipulation is without waiver or prejudice as to Defendant's ability 20 to assert any affirmative defenses related to exhaustion of administrative remedies, 21 22 or ability to otherwise challenge Plaintiffs' claims, including those pursuant to 23 LCPAGA, by any available means;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff agrees with the limitation on Defendant's stipulation set 24 forth above; 25

26

NOW, THEREFORE, it is stipulated that:

Plaintiff may file the First Amended Complaint ("FAC") attached as 1. 27 28 Exhibit 1 to the Notice of Lodging [Proposed] First Amended Complaint, which

> Case No. 2:15-cv-00383-WBS-DAD JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING CASE SCHEDULE

FAC asserts a claim under the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act
 ("PAGA"), section 2698 et seq. of the California Labor Code. The proposed FAC
 lodged herewith shall be deemed filed on the date the Court executes the
 concurrently filed Proposed Order.

2. By stipulating to this amendment, Defendant has not waived any
affirmative defenses, including related to exhaustion of administrative remedies, and
instead expressly reserves its right to challenge by any and all available procedural
means, the validity or sufficiency of each and every allegation contained in the FAC.
Respectfully submitted,

10 DATED: September 29, 2015 OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C. 11 12 <u>/s/ Chris</u>topher M. Ahearn By: inda Claxton 13 Christopher M. Ahearn Rachel J. Moroski 14 Attorneys for Defendant 15 ADT LLC 16 DATED: September 29, 2015 HARRIS & RUBLE 17 18 By: <u>/s/ Alan Harris</u> Alan Harris 19 Priya Mohan NORTH BAY LAW GROUP 20 DATED: September 29, 2015 21 By: <u>/s/ David S. Harris</u> 22 David S. Harris Attorneys for Plaintiff RICARDO CASTILLO 23 24 25 $\parallel \parallel$ 26 /// 27 /// 28 /// Case No. 2:15-cv-00383-WBS-DAD JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING CASE SCHEDULE

1	ORDER		
2	The parties having submitted a Joint Stipulation to allow Plaintiff to file a First		
3	Amended Complaint, the Court orders the First Amended Complaint, attached as		
4	Exhibit 1 to the Notice of Lodging of [Proposed] First Amended Complaint, filed.		
5			
6	Dated: September 29, 2015		
7	Million & Shibt		
8	WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	3 Case No. 2:15-cv-00383-WBS-DAD JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING CASE SCHEDULE		