

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 WILLIE WEAVER,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION,  
15 et al.,

16 Defendants.  
17

No. 2:15-cv-0405 KJN P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS

18 By an order filed March 17, 2015, plaintiff was ordered to file an in forma pauperis  
19 affidavit or pay the required filing fee within thirty days and was cautioned that failure to do so  
20 would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now  
21 expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order and has not filed an application to  
22 proceed in forma pauperis or paid the required filing fee.


23 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is  
24 directed to assign a district judge to this case; and

25 IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

26 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge  
27 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days  
28 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written

1 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned  
2 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the  
3 objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The  
4 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to  
5 appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

6 Dated: April 28, 2015

7   
8 KENDALL J. NEWMAN  
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 /weav0405.fifp