1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 ESSIE CHANDLER, et al., Case No. 2:15-CV-00411 GEB-KJN 8 Plaintiffs, RELATED CASE ORDER 10 CARMICHAEL CARE, INC., a Nevada corporation, et al., Case No. 2:15-CV-00387 JAM-AC 11 Defendants. Successor-in-interest, et al., Plaintiffs, Case No. 2:15-CV-00387 JAM-AC 15 SUMMERVILLE AT HAZEL CREEK, LLC daba EMERITUS AT HAZEL CREEK, et al., Summerville AT HAZEL CREEK, et al., Case No. 2:15-CV-00387 JAM-AC 16 dba EMERITUS AT HAZEL CREEK, et al., Case No. 2:15-CV-00387 JAM-AC 17 Defendants. Defendant	Howarth et al v.	Cottonwood H.C. et al	Doc. 9
2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 ESSIE CHANDLER, et al.,			
2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 ESSIE CHANDLER, et al.,			
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ESSIE CHANDLER, et al., Case No. 2:15-CV-00411 GEB-KJN Plaintiffs, CARMICHAEL CARE, INC., a Nevada corporation, et al., Case No. 2:15-CV-00387 JAM-AC Defendants. Case No. 2:15-CV-00387 JAM-AC V. SUMMERVILLE AT HAZEL CREEK, LLC dba EMERITUS AT HAZEL CREEK, et al., Defendants.	1		
4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 ESSIE CHANDLER, et al.,	2		
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 ESSIE CHANDLER, et al.,	3		
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ESSIE CHANDLER, et al.,	4		
ESSIE CHANDLER, et al., Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, CARMICHAEL CARE, INC., a Nevada corporation, et al., Defendants. JANET ZAGON by and through her successor-in-interest, et al., Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, Case No. 2:15-CV-00411 GEB-KJN RELATED CASE ORDER CARMICHAEL CARE, INC., a Nevada corporation, et al., Defendants. Defendants. JANET ZAGON by and through her successor-in-interest, et al., SUMMERVILLE AT HAZEL CREEK, LLC dba EMERITUS AT HAZEL CREEK, et al., Defendants.	5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8 Plaintiffs, 9 V. 10 CARMICHAEL CARE, INC., a Nevada corporation, et al., 11 Defendants. JANET ZAGON by and through her successor-in-interest, et al., 13 Plaintiffs, 14 V. 15 SUMMERVILLE AT HAZEL CREEK, LLC dba EMERITUS AT HAZEL CREEK, et al., Defendants. Defendants.	6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9 v. 10 CARMICHAEL CARE, INC., a Nevada corporation, et al., 11 Defendants. JANET ZAGON by and through her successor-in-interest, et al., 12 Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, SUMMERVILLE AT HAZEL CREEK, LLC dba EMERITUS AT HAZEL CREEK, et al., Defendants.	7	ESSIE CHANDLER, et al.,	Case No. 2:15-CV-00411 GEB-KJN
9 V. 10 CARMICHAEL CARE, INC., a Nevada corporation, et al., 11 Defendants. 12 JANET ZAGON by and through her successor-in-interest, et al., 13 Plaintiffs, 14 V. 15 SUMMERVILLE AT HAZEL CREEK, LLC dba EMERITUS AT HAZEL CREEK, et al., 16 Defendants. 17 Defendants.	8	Plaintiffs,)	DELAMED CAGE CODED
corporation, et al., Defendants. JANET ZAGON by and through her successor-in-interest, et al., Plaintiffs, V. SUMMERVILLE AT HAZEL CREEK, LLC dba EMERITUS AT HAZEL CREEK, et al., Defendants.	9	v. ;	RELATED CASE ORDER
Defendants. JANET ZAGON by and through her successor-in-interest, et al., Plaintiffs, Case No. 2:15-CV-00387 JAM-AC V. SUMMERVILLE AT HAZEL CREEK, LLC dba EMERITUS AT HAZEL CREEK, et al., Defendants.	10		
JANET ZAGON by and through her successor-in-interest, et al., Plaintiffs, Case No. 2:15-CV-00387 JAM-AC V. SUMMERVILLE AT HAZEL CREEK, LLC dba EMERITUS AT HAZEL CREEK, et al., Defendants.	11]	
Plaintiffs,) Case No. 2:15-CV-00387 JAM-AC 14 V. SUMMERVILLE AT HAZEL CREEK, LLC) dba EMERITUS AT HAZEL CREEK, et) al., Defendants.	12	JANET ZAGON by and through her)	
14 v.) 15 SUMMERVILLE AT HAZEL CREEK, LLC) 16 dba EMERITUS AT HAZEL CREEK, et) al.,) Defendants.)	13)	
SUMMERVILLE AT HAZEL CREEK, LLC) dba EMERITUS AT HAZEL CREEK, et) al., Defendants.	14	Plaintiffs,)	Case No. 2:15-CV-00387 JAM-AC
16 dba EMERITUS AT HAZEL CREEK, et) al.,) 17 Defendants.)	15	v.)	
17 Defendants.	16		
	17	al.,	
1	18		
al., 19 Case No. 2:15-CV-00409 JAM-AC	19		Case No. 2:15-CV-00409 JAM-AC
Plaintiffs,)	20	Plaintiffs,)	
21 v.		v. ,	
COTTONWOOD H.C., INC. dba) 22 COTTONWOOD HEALTHCARE CENTER, et)		[]	
23 al.,)			
Defendants. 24 EDWARD GOLDMAN, by and through his)			
success-in-interest, et al.,)			
Plaintiffs,) Case No. 2:15-CV-00432 JAM-AC			Case No. 2:15-CV-00432 JAM-AC
)	
27 CARMICHAEL CARE AND REHABILITATION) CENTER, et al.,) 28			
Defendants.	∠8	Defendants.	

Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal. 2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge and magistrate judge is likely to affect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

The parties should be aware that relating the cases under

The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 123 merely has the result that these actions are assigned to the same judge and magistrate judge; no consolidation of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the action denominated 2:15-CV-00411 GEB-KJN be reassigned to Judge John A. Mendez and Magistrate Judge Allison Claire for all further proceedings, and any dates currently set in this reassigned case only are hereby VACATED. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned cases shall be shown as 2:15-CV-00411 JAM-AC.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 18, 2015

/s/ John A. Mendez

JOHN A. MENDEZ United States District Court Judge