2	DAVID R. OLICK, No. 72152 Attorney at Law			
3	3450 Sacramento Street, No. 509 San Francisco, CA 94118			
4	Tel: 707-750-1005			
5	Fax: 925-401-9419 email: <u>dro@olick.us</u>			
	RICHARD A. CANATELLA, Cal. Bar No. 532	64		
6	Attorney at Law CARTER & DELCARLO			
7	4610 Mission Street, 4 th Floor			
8	San Francisco, CA 94112 Tel: 415-584-5446			
9	email: <u>delcarlo@earthlink.net</u>			
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff DAVID R. OLICK			
11	William J. Murray (State Bar No. 087936) BELZER & MURRAY, LLP			
12	 2 3650 Mt. Diablo Blvd., Suite 130 2 Lafayette, California 94549 			
13	Telephone: 925/284-9000 Facsimile: 925/283-5192			
14	E-Mail: <u>Murray@wjmattorneys.com</u>			
15	Attorneys for Defendant Vivian Lily			
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT. EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
17	SACRAMENTO DIVISION			
18	In re	NO. 2:15-cv-00410-WBS-DAD		
19	VIVIAN LILY,	Bankruptcy Court Nos.		
	Debtor.	Chapter 7 No. 12-36999-B-7		
20)	Adversary Proceeding No. 12-02717-B		
21	DAVID R. OLICK,	JOINT APPLICATION TO CONTINUE		
22	Plaintiff,	TRIAL BASED ON STIPULATION OF		
23	v. ()	THE PARTIES; STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER		
24	VIVIAN LILY,	Date: Trial Date November 3, 2015		
25	Defendant.	Time: 9:30 a.m., Courtroom 5		
26)	The Honorable William B. Shubb		
27				

- 1
- 2

The parties, Plaintiff David Olick (hereinafter, "Olick") and Defendant Vivian Lily (hereinafter, "Lily") by and through their respective counsel, jointly apply for a continuance of the trial of this matter, currently set for November 3,2015 and respectfully request that the trial date be vacated, and the Court set the matter on calendar for trial setting in January, 2016 or alternatively set a new trial date in June, 2016.

The continuance is being sought to enable the parties to have adequate opportunity to further pursue active settlement discussions. This case is one of two involving Olick and Lily, the second being their dissolution action, pending in Solano County, Case No. FFL 114985 (hereinafter, the "Dissolution Case.") No trial date has been set in that action. There are overlapping issues in these cases and Olick and Lily are interested in reaching a global settlement of both this case and the Dissolution Case.

13

Recently the parties have been engaged in serious negotiations. While it appears that progress is being made, there is not adequate time to conclude these negotiations and, it is hoped reach a global settlement, given the current trial date and the pretrial preparation required. The parties wish to place litigation activity in both cases on hold while negotiations are pursued in the hope that they will be able to reach a mutually agreeable resolution.

18

The ability to conclude these negotiations before having to switch focus to trial preparation 19 based on the current trial date is complicated by health problems of both Lily and Olick. As set 20 forth in the Declaration of Robert P. Rich, filed herewith, Lily has recently had major surgery and is 21 currently hospitalized with pneumonia. While it is not currently anticipated that she will be unable 22 to appear for trial due to medical problems, this is an uncertainty. She also faces possible 23 additional surgery. Lily's ability to participate directly in settlement discussions over the next 24 weeks is hampered by her surgery and subsequent hospitalization with pneumonia. As set forth in 25 the Declaration of Olick, who is both a party and co-counsel in this case, he has cardiac health 26 concerns.

~2~

- 27
- 28

It is hoped that with additional time the parties can reach an overall settlement of the
 litigation between them, thereby avoiding the expenditure of judicial resources and the costs
 associated with trials in two forums. If the parties are unable to reach a negotiated settlement on
 their own, they anticipated asking the Court to refer this matter to a Magistrate Judge for a settlement
 conference.

6 The parties respectfully ask that the trial date be vacated, and that a setting conference be 7 set for January 11, 2016, or thereafter, at which time, if the case has not been settled a new trial date 8 would be selected. Alternatively, it is respectfully requested that the case be set for trial in June, 9 2016, with all dates set in the Final Pretrial Order adjusted to the new trial date.

10

11

STIPULATION

12 Lily and Olick stipulate as follows:

13 1. In Order that settlement discussions may be pursued effectively, that the trial date of 14 this matter currently set for November 3, 2015 be vacated.

15 2. That the Court either:

16 (1) Set this case for a trial setting conference in on or after January 11, 2015 as convenient 17 to the Court or,

18 (2) That the case be set for trial in or after June 2016 on a date convenient to the Court.

3. All dates set in the Final Pretrial Order will be adjusted to correspond to the new trialdate, when set.

21	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
22		
23		
24		
25		DATED: October 1, 2015
26		<u>/s/ David R. Olick</u> DAVID R. OLICK
27		RICHARD A. CANATELLA Attorneys for Plaintiff
28		

1	
2	DATED: October 1, 2015
3	/s/ William Murray WILLIAM MURRAY Attorney for Defendant
4	
5	ORDER:
6	
7	Based on the Stipulation of the Parties, and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING:
8	
9	1. The trial date in this matter, November 3, 2015, is vacated.
10	2. The case is reset for trial on June 14, 2016 at 9:00 a.m.
11	3. Deadlines set in the Final Pretrial Order will be adjusted based on the new trial date.
12	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	Dated: October 6, 2015
15	million & Shabe
16	WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	