| 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |  |
|--|--|
| 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |  |
|  |  |
| FRANK ABELLA,  | No. 2:15-cv-0424 JAM KJN P   |
| Petitioner,  |  |
| v.   | <u>ORDER</u>   |
| M.D. BITER,  |  |
| Respondent.  |  |
|  |  |
| Petitioner has filed two requests for the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no            |  |
| absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. <u>See Nevius v. Sumner</u> , 105 F.3d |  |
| 19 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at       |  |
| any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing §     |  |
| 21 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be     |  |
| served by the appointment of counsel at the present time.  |  |
| Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's motions for appointment of                         |  |
| counsel (ECF Nos. 9 & 11) are denied without prejudice.  |  |
| Dated: April 27, 2015  |  |
|  | F 100 0 11   |
|  | trows 1. Nowman  |
| abel0424.110(2)  | KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE   |
|  | FRANK ABELLA,  Petitioner,  V.  M.D. BITER,  Respondent.  Petitioner has filed two requests for the absolute right to appointment of counsel in hard 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C any stage of the case "if the interests of justice 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court do served by the appointment of counsel at the process of the counsel (ECF Nos. 9 & 11) are denied without counsel (ECF Nos. 9 & 11) are de |