1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	FRANK LEE DEARWESTER,	No. 2:15-CV-0443-KJM-DMC-P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF	
15	CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION, et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to	
19	42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pending before the Court in this closed docket is plaintiff's motion for relief	
20	from the requirement to pay the fling fees in installments (ECF No. 14).	
21	On May 15, 2015, the previously assigned Magistrate Judge dismissed plaintiff's	
22	action for failure to submit an amended complaint within the time allotted and this case was	
23	closed. See ECF Nos. 10 (Magistrate Judge's order) and 11 (final judgment). On June 5, 2015,	
24	plaintiff submitted his first amended complaint. See ECF No. 12. This matter was reassigned to	
25	this Court pursuant to an order signed by the District Judge on May 11, 2020. See ECF No. 15.	
26	The reassignment and review by the undersigned has been triggered by plaintiff's filing of the	
27	currently pending motion on May 7, 2020.	
28	///	

Good cause appearing for plaintiff's delay, the Court hereby orders the following:  1. The order dismissing this action and final judgment (ECF Nos. 10 and 11) are vacated;  2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to re-open this case; 3. Because this action is being re-opened, plaintiff's motion for relief from the requirement to pay the filing fees (ECF No. 14) is denied; and  4. The sufficiency of plaintiff's first amended complaint shall be addressed by separate screening order.  Dated: May 18, 2020  DENNIS M. COTA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  Dated: May 18, 2020  DENNIS M. COTA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27			
are vacated;  2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to re-open this case;  3. Because this action is being re-opened, plaintiff's motion for relief from the requirement to pay the filing fees (ECF No. 14) is denied; and  4. The sufficiency of plaintiff's first amended complaint shall be addressed by separate screening order.  Dated: May 18, 2020  DENNIS M. COTA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  DENIS M. COTA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	1	Good cause appearing for plaintiff's delay, the Court hereby orders the following:	
2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to re-open this case;  3. Because this action is being re-opened, plaintiff's motion for relief from the requirement to pay the filing fees (ECF No. 14) is denied; and  4. The sufficiency of plaintiff's first amended complaint shall be addressed by separate screening order.  Dated: May 18, 2020  DENNIS M. COTA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  DENIES M. COTA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	2	1. The order dismissing this action and final judgment (ECF Nos. 10 and 11)	
3. Because this action is being re-opened, plaintiff's motion for relief from the requirement to pay the filing fees (ECF No. 14) is denied; and  4. The sufficiency of plaintiff's first amended complaint shall be addressed by separate screening order.  Dated: May 18, 2020  Dennis M. Cota United States Magistrate Judge  United States Magistrate Judge  15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	3	are vacated;	
the requirement to pay the filing fees (ECF No. 14) is denied; and  4. The sufficiency of plaintiff's first amended complaint shall be addressed by separate screening order.  Dated: May 18, 2020  DENNIS M. COTA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  11 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	4	2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to re-open this case;	
4. The sufficiency of plaintiff's first amended complaint shall be addressed by separate screening order.  Dated: May 18, 2020  DENNIS M. COTA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  A separate screening order.	5	3. Because this action is being re-opened, plaintiff's motion for relief from	
8 separate screening order. 9 10 11 Dated: May 18, 2020 DENNIS M. COTA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	6	the requirement to pay the filing fees (ECF No. 14) is denied; and	
9 10 11 Dated: May 18, 2020 DENNIS M. COTA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	7	4. The sufficiency of plaintiff's first amended complaint shall be addressed by	
Dated: May 18, 2020  Dennis M. Cota United States Magistrate Judge	8	separate screening order.	
Dated: May 18, 2020  DENNIS M. COTA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	9		
DENNIS M. COTA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	10		
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	11	Dated: May 18, 2020	
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  14	12	DENNIS M. COTA	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	13		
16	14		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	15		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	16		
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	17		
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	18		
<ul> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ul>	19		
<ul> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ul>	20		
<ul> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ul>	21		
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	22		
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	23		
26	24		
	25		
27	26		
n .	27		