

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSE R. ZAIZA,

Plaintiff,

v.

D. TAMPLEN, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-0447-KJM-EFB P

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. By order filed January 31, 2018, the court found that plaintiff had stated potentially cognizable claims against defendants Giessner, Tamplen, Robertson, Davis, Gonzales, Fleming, and Arana. ECF No. 16. The court informed plaintiff he could proceed against those defendants only or file an amended complaint within 30 days that also states a claim against defendants Holmes, Shriver, and Damn. *Id.* The time for amending has passed and plaintiff has elected to proceed only with the claims against defendants Giessner, Tamplen, Robertson, Davis, Gonzales, Fleming, and Arana. *See* ECF No. 17.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that plaintiff’s claims against defendants Holmes, Shriver, and Damn be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days

1 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
2 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
3 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Failure to file objections
4 within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. *Turner v.*
5 *Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

6 Dated: June 14, 2018.

7 
8 EDMUND F. BRENNAN
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28