2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	Danial Oberg,	No. 2:15-cv-00448-GEB-EFB
9	Plaintiff,	
10	V.	ORDER RE: SETTLEMENT AND
11	Capital One Bank, USA N.A.,	DISPOSITION
12	a/k/a Capital One Services, LLC; CACH LLC; and Neuheisel	
13	Law Firm, P.C.,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	Plaintiff filed a Notice of Settlement on June 8, 2015	
17	in which he states: "The parties have reached a settlement in th	
18	above-referenced case. The	parties anticipate filing a
19	stipulation for dismissal within the next twenty-one (21) days.	
20	(Pl.'s Notice of Settlement, ECF No. 11.)	
21	Therefore, a dispositional document shall be filed no	
22	later than June 29, 2015. Fail	ure to respond by this deadline may
23	be construed as consent to	dismissal of this action without
24	prejudice, and a dismissal or	der could be filed. <u>See</u> E.D. Cal.
25	R. 160(b) ("A failure to file	e dispositional papers on the date
26	prescribed by the Court may be grounds for sanctions.").	
27	Further, the Status Conference scheduled for hearing o	
28	June 22, 2015, is continued to	o commence at 9:00 a.m. on July 20,

2015, in the event no dispositional document is filed, or if this action is not otherwise dismissed.¹ A joint status report shall be filed fourteen (14) days prior to the status conference.

Senior United States District Judge

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 10, 2015

2.1

The status conference will remain on calendar, because the mere

representation that a case has been settled does not justify vacating a scheduling proceeding. Cf. Callie v. Near, 829 F.2d 888, 890 (9th Cir. 1987) (indicating that a representation that claims have been settled does not necessarily establish the existence of a binding settlement agreement).