

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JESS PEREZ, III,
Plaintiff,
v.
L. SCMIDT, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-0521 CKD P

ORDER AND
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On June 6, 2016, defendants filed a motion to compel. On July 27, 2016, plaintiff was ordered to file a response to the motion within 21 days. In the same order, plaintiff was informed that failure to file a response would result in dismissal pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). The twenty-one day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court’s order.

Accordingly IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court assign a district court judge to this case; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days

1 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
2 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
3 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the
4 objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The
5 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to
6 appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

7 Dated: August 29, 2016



CAROLYN K. DELANEY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12 1
13 pere0521.46fr

10
11
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28