1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ROBERT MIX,	No. 2:15-cv-0524 DAD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER &
14	PAM AHLIN,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a civil detainee at Coalinga State Hospital proceeding pro se, has filed a civil	
18	rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, together with an application for leave to proceed in	
19	forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.	
20	By order filed March 23, 2015, plaintiff was ordered to file an in forma pauperis affidavit	
21	on the form used by this district or else pay the appropriate filing fees within thirty days. <sup>1</sup>	
22	Plaintiff was also cautioned that his failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this	
23	action be dismissed. More than five months have passed, and plaintiff has not filed an in forma	
24	pauperis affidavit on the required form, paid the appropriate filing fee, or otherwise responded to	
25	the court's order.	
26	/////	
27	1 The Clark of the Co. 1	
28	<sup>1</sup> The Clerk of the Court was directed to mail plaintiff a blank Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis By a Prisoner. (See ECF No. 4 at 2.)	
		1

DAD:10 mix0524.fifp

Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall assign a district judge in this action.

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: August 26, 2015

DALE A. DROZD

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE