1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CHEU LOR,	No. 2:15-CV-0548-DMC
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL	
15	SECURITY, Defendant.	
16	Defendant.	
17		
18	Plaintiff, who is proceeding with retained counsel, brings this action for judicial	
19	review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).	
20	Pending before the court is defendant's motion to clarify the court's March 6, 2019, order	
21	regarding an award of fees to plaintiff's attorney under the Equal Access to Justice Act (ECF No.	
22	25). Specifically, defendant seeks clarification of the 65-day deadline to pay fees awarded by the	
23	court. Good cause appearing therefor, defendant's motion is granted. The court clarifies the	
24	March 6, 2019, order to reflect that initiation of the payment process within the specified deadline	
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
		1

constitutes sufficient compliance.

Also before the court is plaintiff's counsel's request (ECF No. 24) for relief from the requirements of <u>Astrue v. Ratliff</u>, 130 S.Ct. 2521 (2010), regarding payment of fees directly to counsel instead of the client. This request is denied. Pursuant to <u>Astrue</u>, and as stated in the court's March 6, 2019, order, fees are payable directly to the client, not counsel.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 29, 2019

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DENNIS M. COTA