

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES C. MAXEY,
Plaintiff,
v.
THE OFFICE OF PRESIDENT BARACK
OBAMA, ET AL.,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:15-CV-00552 JAM-EFB

RELATED CASE ORDER

JAMES C. MAXEY,
Plaintiff,
v.
ROBERT M. MAXEY; VALLEY SPRINGS
BAPTIST CHURCH, DAVID GEORGE,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:15-CV-00950 TLN-AC

JAMES C. MAXEY,
Plaintiff,
v.
SENATOR BARBARA BOXER,
Defendant.

Case No. 2:15-CV-00951 KJM-CKD

Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these
actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal.

1 2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same
2 judge is likely to affect a substantial savings of judicial effort
3 and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

4 The parties should be aware that relating the cases under
5 Local Rule 123 merely has the result that these actions are
6 assigned to the same judge and magistrate judge; no consolidation
7 of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this
8 court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and
9 magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

10 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions denominated 2:15-CV-
11 00950 TLN-AC and 2:15-CV-00951 KJM-CKD be reassigned to Judge John
12 A. Mendez and Magistrate Judge Edmund F. Brennan for all further
13 proceedings, and any dates currently set in this reassigned case
14 only are hereby VACATED. Henceforth, the caption on documents
15 filed in the reassigned cases shall be shown as 2:15-CV-00950 JAM-
16 EFB and 2:15-CV-00951 JAM-EFB.

17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make
18 appropriate adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to
19 compensate for this reassignment.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: May 11, 2015.

/s/ John A. Mendez

JOHN A. MENDEZ
United States District Judge