1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	YASIR MEHMOOD,	No. 2:15-cv-673-MCE-EFB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	FALK, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a federal pretrial detainee proceeding without counsel in this civil action. This	
18	proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).	
19	v.       FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS         ALK, et al.,       Defendants.         Plaintiff is a federal pretrial detainee proceeding without counsel in this civil action. This cocceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).         On December 9, 2015, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. That rder explained the deficiencies in the complaint and granted plaintiff thirty days to file an amended complaint to cure the deficiencies identified in the screening order. Plaintiff was almonished that failure to file an amended complaint would result in a recommendation that this extion be dismissed. ECF No. 16. The time for acting has passed and plaintiff has not filed an	
20	order explained the deficiencies in the complaint and granted plaintiff thirty days to file an	
21	amended complaint to cure the deficiencies i	dentified in the screening order. Plaintiff was
22	admonished that failure to file an amended complaint would result in a recommendation that this	
23	action be dismissed. ECF No. 16. The time for acting has passed and plaintiff has not filed an	
24	amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.	
25	A party's failure to comply with any order or with the Local Rules "may be grounds for	
26	imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the	
27	inherent power of the Court." E.D. Cal. Local Rule 110. The court may dismiss an action with or	
28	without prejudice, as appropriate, if a party disobeys an order or the Local Rules. See Ferdik v.	
		1

Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1263 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did not abuse discretion in
 dismissing pro se plaintiff's complaint for failing to obey an order to re-file an amended
 complaint to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); *Carey v. King*, 856 F.2d 1439,
 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for pro se plaintiff's failure to comply with local rule
 regarding notice of change of address affirmed).

Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for failure to
prosecute and for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b);
E. D. Cal. Local Rule 110, 183(b); 28 U.S.C. § 1915A..

9 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 10 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days 11 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written 12 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned 13 "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the 14 objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The 15 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to 16 appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). 17

18 Dated: January 20, 2016.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

his m

EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2