

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BRIAN SPEARS,

Plaintiff,

v.

EL DORADO COUNTY SHERIFF'S  
DEPARTMENT, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-0165 AC P

RELATED CASE ORDER

BRUCE BENTON,

Plaintiff,

v.

EL DORADO COUNTY SHERIFF'S  
DEPARTMENT, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-0772 AC P

RELATED CASE ORDER

RICHARD ANDERSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

EL DORADO COUNTY SHERIFF'S  
DEPARTMENT, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-0773 AC P

RELATED CASE ORDER

1 TIMOTHY SUDDETH,

2 Plaintiff,

3 v.

4 EL DORADO COUNTY SHERIFF'S  
5 DEPARTMENT, et al.,

6 Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-0774 AC P

RELATED CASE ORDER

7 On April 10, 2015, this court issued an order severing these four cases. Examination of  
8 these actions reveals that they are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123. These actions  
9 “involve similar questions of fact and the same question[s] of law and their assignment to the  
10 same . . . Magistrate Judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort,” Local Rule  
11 123(a)(3), and be convenient for the parties. All plaintiffs have consented to the jurisdiction of  
12 the undersigned Magistrate Judge for all purposes. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Local Rule  
13 305(a). Should any of these actions also require later assignment to a District Judge, such  
14 assignment shall be to the same District Judge assigned to any other of these related cases.

15 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the above-identified actions are related.  
16 The parties are informed that, although these cases are related under Local Rule 123, they are not  
17 consolidated. The Clerk of Court is directed to make appropriate adjustment in the assignment of  
18 civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

19 DATED: April 13, 2015

20   
21 ALLISON CLAIRE  
22 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28