

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 BRIAN SPEARS,

12 Plaintiff,

No. 2:15-cv-0165 AC P

13 v.

14 EL DORADO COUNTY SHERIFF'S
15 DEPARTMENT, et al.,

RELATED CASE ORDER

16 Defendants.

17 BRUCE BENTON,

18 Plaintiff,

No. 2:15-cv-0772 AC P

19 v.

20 EL DORADO COUNTY SHERIFF'S
21 DEPARTMENT, et al.,

RELATED CASE ORDER

22 Defendants.

23 RICHARD ANDERSON,

24 Plaintiff,

No. 2:15-cv-0773 AC P

25 v.

26 EL DORADO COUNTY SHERIFF'S
27 DEPARTMENT, et al.,

RELATED CASE ORDER

28 Defendants.

1 TIMOTHY SUDDETH,

2 Plaintiff,

3 v.

4 EL DORADO COUNTY SHERIFF'S
5 DEPARTMENT, et al.,

6 Defendants.


No. 2:15-cv-0774 AC P

RELATED CASE ORDER

7 On April 10, 2015, this court issued an order severing these four cases. Examination of
8 these actions reveals that they are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123. These actions
9 “involve similar questions of fact and the same question[s] of law and their assignment to the
10 same . . . Magistrate Judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort,” Local Rule
11 123(a)(3), and be convenient for the parties. All plaintiffs have consented to the jurisdiction of
12 the undersigned Magistrate Judge for all purposes. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Local Rule
13 305(a). Should any of these actions also require later assignment to a District Judge, such
14 assignment shall be to the same District Judge assigned to any other of these related cases.

15 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the above-identified actions are related.
16 The parties are informed that, although these cases are related under Local Rule 123, they are not
17 consolidated. The Clerk of Court is directed to make appropriate adjustment in the assignment of
18 civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

19 DATED: April 13, 2015

20 
21 ALLISON CLAIRE
22 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
23
24
25
26
27
28