

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL A. SAAVEDRA,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
KELLY HARRINGTON, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-0898 AC P

ORDER SETTING SETTLEMENT  
CONFERENCE

Plaintiff Michael Saavedra is a former state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The court has determined that this case will benefit from a settlement conference. Therefore, this case will be referred to Magistrate Judge Carolyn K. Delaney to conduct a settlement conference at the U. S. District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California 95814 in Courtroom #24 on January 8, 2018, at 9:30 a.m.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. A settlement conference has been set for January 8, 2018, at 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom #24 before Magistrate Judge Carolyn K. Delaney at the U. S. District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California 95814.
2. Parties are instructed to have a principal with full settlement authority present at the Settlement Conference or to be fully authorized to settle the matter on any terms. The individual with full authority to settle must also have “unfettered discretion and

1 authority” to change the settlement position of the party, if appropriate. The purpose  
2 behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the  
3 parties’ view of the case may be altered during the face-to-face conference. An  
4 authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to  
5 comply with the requirement of full authority to settle<sup>1</sup>.

- 6 3. Each party shall provide a confidential settlement statement to the following email  
7 address: [ckdorders@caed.uscourts.gov](mailto:ckdorders@caed.uscourts.gov) not later than December 29, 2017. Plaintiff  
8 may mail his confidential settlement statement Attn: Magistrate Judge Carolyn K.  
9 Delaney, USDC CAED, 501 I Street, Suite 4-200, Sacramento, California 95814 so it  
10 arrives no later than December 29, 2017. The envelope shall be marked  
11 “CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT.” Parties are also  
12 directed to file a “Notice of Submission of Confidential Settlement Statement” (See  
13 L.R. 270(d)).

14  
15 Settlement statements **should not be filed** with the Clerk of the Court **nor served on**  
16 **any other party**. Settlement statements shall be clearly marked “confidential” with  
17 the date and time of the settlement conference indicated prominently thereon.

18  
19 ///

20  
21 \_\_\_\_\_  
22 <sup>1</sup> While the exercise of its authority is subject to abuse of discretion review, “the district court has the  
23 authority to order parties, including the federal government, to participate in mandatory settlement  
24 conferences... .” United States v. United States District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands, 694 F.3d 1051,  
25 1053, 1057, 1059 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2012)(“the district court has broad authority to compel participation in mandatory  
26 settlement conference[s].”). The term “full authority to settle” means that the individuals attending the  
27 mediation conference must be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any  
28 settlement terms acceptable to the parties. G. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648,  
653 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1989), cited with approval in Official Airline Guides, Inc. v. Goss, 6 F.3d 1385, 1396 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1993).  
The individual with full authority to settle must also have “unfettered discretion and authority” to change the  
settlement position of the party, if appropriate. Pitman v. Brinker Int’l, Inc., 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz.  
2003), amended on recon. in part, Pitman v. Brinker Int’l, Inc., 2003 WL 23353478 (D. Ariz. 2003). The  
purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties’ view of  
the case may be altered during the face to face conference. Pitman, 216 F.R.D. at 486. An authorization to  
settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full  
authority to settle. Nick v. Morgan’s Foods, Inc., 270 F.3d 590, 596-97 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

The confidential settlement statement shall be **no longer than five pages** in length, typed or neatly printed, and include the following:

- a. A brief statement of the facts of the case.
- b. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute.
- c. A summary of the proceedings to date.
- d. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial, and trial.
- e. The relief sought.
- f. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a history of past settlement discussions, offers, and demands.
- g. A brief statement of each party's expectations and goals for the settlement conference.

DATED: November 20, 2017.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ALLISON CLAIRE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE