1		
1 2		
2		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CHANREASMEY PRUM,	No. 2:15-cv-0905 TLN CKD P
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	ORDER
14	JEFF MACOMBER,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	On August 18, 2016, petitioner filed a request for reconsideration of the August 9, 2016	
18	judgment denying the petition for writ of habeas corpus and declining to order a certificate of	
19	appealability.	
20	A district court may reconsider a ruling under either Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e)	
21	or 60(b). See Sch. Dist. Number. 1J, Multnomah County v. ACandS, Inc., 5 F.3d 1255, 1262 (9th	
22	Cir. 1993). "Reconsideration is appropriate if the district court (1) is presented with newly	
23	discovered evidence, (2) committed clear error or the initial decision was manifestly unjust, or (3)	
24	if there is an intervening change in controlling law." Id. at 1263. Here, the Court's decision was	
25	not clearly erroneous nor manifestly unjust, and none of the other factors apply.	
26	////	
27	////	
28	////	1
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's motion for reconsideration	
2	(ECF No. 24) is denied.	
3		
4	Dated: January 4, 2017	
5		
6	my - Utunter	
7	Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge	
8	United States District Judge	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	