

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

UPPER SOUTH EAST COMMUNITIES  
COALITION,

Plaintiff,

v.

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS;  
LT. GEN. THOMAS P. BOSTICK, in his  
official capacity, Chief of Engineers and  
Commanding General, U.S. Army Corps of  
Engineers; COL. MICHAEL J. FARRELL,  
in his official capacity, District  
Commander, Sacramento District, U.S.  
Army Corps of Engineers; and MICHAEL  
S. JEWELL Chief, Regulatory Division,  
Sacramento District, U.S. Army Corps of  
Engineers.

Defendants.

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION  
COMMISSION OF WASHOE COUNTY,

Intervenor Defendant.

Case No. 2:15-cv-00930-JAM-DAD

**ORDER SHORTENING TIME FOR  
HEARING ON PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY  
INJUNCTION**

1 TO ALL PARTIES:

2 1. On April 29, 2015, Plaintiff Upper Southeast Communities Coalition (“Plaintiff”)  
3 filed the instant action, challenging the actions of Defendants U.S. Army Corps of Engineers et  
4 al. (“Defendants”) under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, commonly referred to as the  
5 Clean Water Act (“CWA”), 33 U.S.C. § 1251 *et seq.*; the National Environmental Policy Act, 42  
6 U.S.C. § 4321 *et seq.* (“NEPA”); the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 701 *et seq.*  
7 (“APA”); and the CWA and NEPA implementing regulations;

8 2. On May 6, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for preliminary injunction, and reserved  
9 June 17, 2015 as the next available, regular civil motion hearing date;

10 3. On May 15, 2015, pursuant to Local rule 144(e), Plaintiff, Defendants, and  
11 Defendant Intervenor Regional Transportation Commission of Washoe County conferred and  
12 Plaintiff filed an Unopposed Application for Order Shortening Time for Hearing on Plaintiff’s  
13 Motion for Preliminary Injunction, and a stipulation and declaration in support thereof, in which  
14 Plaintiff requested that this Court hear Plaintiff’s motion for preliminary injunction on June 1, or  
15 as soon thereafter as is convenient for the Court. Neither Defendants nor Defendant Intervenor  
16 opposes Plaintiff’s motion;

17 4. The Court finding good cause, Plaintiff’s Application for Order Shortening Time  
18 for Hearing on Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Injunction is GRANTED.

19 THEREFORE IT IS ORDERED AS FOLLOWS: Plaintiff’s motion for  
20 preliminary injunction shall be heard at **9:30 a.m. on June 3, 2015**, in Courtroom 6 of the  
21 Robert T. Matsui United States Courthouse, 501 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814, 14th floor.  
22 Defendants’ and Defendant Intervenor’s responses or oppositions and supporting papers shall be  
23 filed and served no later than **May 20, 2015**. Plaintiff’s reply and supporting papers shall be  
24 filed and served no later than **May 26, 2015**.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 DATED: 5/15/2015

27 /s/ John A. Mendez

28 HON. JOHN A. MENDEZ