

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS
 Attorney General of California
 2 MARC A. LEFORESTIER
 Supervising Deputy Attorney General
 3 JOHN W. KILLEEN, State Bar No. 258395
 Deputy Attorney General (attorney for notice)
 4 1300 I Street, Suite 125
 P.O. Box 944255
 5 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
 Telephone: (916) 445-1968
 6 Fax: (916) 324-8835
 E-mail: John.Killeen@doj.ca.gov
 7 *Attorneys for Kamala Harris, Christine Baker,
 Diane Ravnik, and Matt Rodriguez*

8
 9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 10 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 11

12
 13 **UNICO MECHANICAL CORP, a
 California corporation, and ALFRED
 14 CONHAGEN, INC. OF CALIFORNIA, a
 California Corporation,**

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17
 18 **KAMALA HARRIS, in her official capacity
 as Attorney General for the State of
 19 California; CHRISTINE BAKER, in her
 official capacity as the Director of the
 20 California Department of Industrial
 Relations; DIANE RAVNIK, in her official
 21 capacity as the Chief of the California
 Division of Apprenticeship Standards; and
 22 MATT RODRIQUEZ, in his official
 capacity as California Secretary for
 23 Environmental Protection,**

24 Defendants,

25 **STATE BUILDING AND
 CONSTRUCTION TRADES COUNCIL
 26 OF CALIFORNIA, AFL-CIO,**

27 Intervenor-Defendants.
 28

Case No. 2:15-cv-00996-JAM-DB

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS'
 MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
 AND GRANTING DEFENDANTS'
 MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Date: November 15, 2016
 Time: 1:30 p.m.
 Dept: 6
 Judge: Hon. John A. Mendez
 Action Filed: 5/7/2015

1 Plaintiffs Unico Mechanical Corp. (“Unico”) and Alfred Conhagen, Inc., of California
2 (“Conhagen”) filed a motion for summary judgment on September 7, 2016. *See* Dkt. No. 49.
3 Defendants Kamala Harris, Christine Baker, Diane Ravnik, and Matt Rodriquez (in their
4 respective official capacities) (“State Defendants”) filed a cross-motion for summary judgment on
5 October 11, 2016. *See* Dkt. No. 56. Intervenor-Defendant State Building Construction Trades
6 Council of California, AFL-CIO (“SBCTC”), also filed a cross-motion for summary judgment on
7 October 11, 2016. *See* Dkt. No. 55.

8 The Court heard oral argument on all three motions on November 15, 2016. At the
9 November 15, 2016, hearing, the Court made oral findings and conclusions, orally denied Unico’s
10 and Conhagen’s motion for summary judgment, and orally granted the State Defendants’ motion
11 for summary judgment and SBCTC’s motion for summary judgment.

12 Based on the pleadings submitted by the parties, the record in this case, the arguments of
13 counsel at the November 15, 2016 hearing, and the Court’s oral rulings at the November 15
14 hearing (which are incorporated by reference into this order), it is hereby ordered that Unico’s
15 and Conhagen’s motion for summary judgment is DENIED, that the State Defendants’ motion for
16 summary judgment is GRANTED, and that SBCTC’s motion for summary judgment is
17 GRANTED, for the reasons stated by the Court at the November 15, 2016 hearing.

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19
20 Dated: 12/9/2016

/s/ John A. Mendez
The Honorable John A. Mendez
U. S. District Court Judge
Eastern District of California

21
22
23 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

24 Dated: December 8, 2016

25 */s/ Jonathan P. Barker (signature used by permission granted December 8, 2016)*

26 SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON, LLP

27 Ronald J. Holland (State Bar No. 148687)

28 Ellen M. Bronchetti (State Bar No. 226975)

Jonathan P. Barker (State Bar No. 233652)

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Unico Mechanical Corp. and Alfred Conhagen, Inc. of California