

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DIMITRIY YEGOROV,
Plaintiff,
v.
PAUL KRAMER,
Defendant.

No. 2:15-cv-1042 TLN GGH PS

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed July 14, 2015, plaintiff’s complaint was dismissed and twenty-eight days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. In that order, the court informed plaintiff of the deficiencies in his complaint. The twenty-eight day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court’s order.

Plaintiff has apparently decided to rest on the dismissed complaint. For the reasons given in the July 14, 2015, order, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed with prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the

1 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
2 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

3 Dated: August 24, 2015

4 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows

5 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6

7

8

9 GGH:076/Yegorov1042.fta

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28