27

28

1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 **EUREKA DIVISION** 6 7 RICHARD D. MILLER, Jr., 8 Petitioner, No. C 15-2120 NJV (PR) 9 ORDER OF TRANSFER VS. 10 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, SACRAMENTO 11 COUNTY. 12 Respondent. 13 14 This is a habeas case filed pro se by a state prisoner. Petitioner seeks to challenge 15 a conviction obtained in the Sacramento County Superior Court. Sacramento County is in 16 the venue of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Petitioner is incarcerated in Oklahoma. 17 18 Venue for a habeas action is proper in either the district of confinement or the district 19 of conviction, 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d); this district is neither. Because petitions challenging a 20 conviction are preferably heard in the district of conviction, Habeas L.R. 2254-3(a); Laue v. 21 Nelson, 279 F. Supp. 265, 266 (N.D. Cal. 1968), and petitioner was convicted in the 22 Eastern District, this case is TRANSFERRED to the United States District Court for the 23 Eastern District of California. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Habeas L.R. 2254-3(b). 24 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 Dated: May 13, 2015.

United States Magistrate Judge