(PC) Hawk v. CDCR, et al.,		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITI	ED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SHAWN HAWK,	No. 2:15-cv-1060-CMK-P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	vs.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION,	
15	ET AL.,	
16	Defendant.	
17		
18	Plaintiff, proceeding pro	se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §
19	1983. Pursuant to Eastern District of California Local Rules, this case was not assigned to a	
20	District Judge when the case was filed. The parties have not consented to Magistrate Judge	
21	jurisdiction and the court now finds that assignment of a District Judge is necessary to properly	
22	address the case.	
23	///	
24	///	
25	///	
26	///	
		1

Doc. 4

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to randomly assign a District Judge to this case and to update the docket to reflect the new case number.

DATED: October 21, 2015

CRAIG M. KELLISON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE