

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DMITRIY YEGOROV,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
GOODWILL INDUSTRIES,  
Defendant.

No. 2:15-cv-01066-KJM-AC

ORDER

Plaintiff, proceeding in this action pro se, has requested authority pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed in forma pauperis. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 72-302(c)(21). Plaintiff has submitted the affidavit required by § 1915(a) showing that plaintiff is unable to prepay fees and costs or give security for them. Accordingly, the request to proceed in forma pauperis will be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).

The federal in forma pauperis statute authorizes federal courts to dismiss a case if the action is legally “frivolous or malicious,” fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2).

A claim is legally frivolous when it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact. Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221, 1227–28 (9th Cir. 1984). The court may, therefore, dismiss a claim as frivolous where it is based on an

1 indisputably meritless legal theory or where the factual contentions are clearly baseless. Neitzke,  
2 490 U.S. at 327.

3 A complaint, or portion thereof, should only be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon  
4 which relief may be granted if it appears beyond doubt that plaintiff can prove no set of facts in  
5 support of the claim or claims that would entitle him to relief. Hishon v. King & Spalding, 467  
6 U.S. 69, 73 (1984) (citing Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41, 45–46 (1957)); Palmer v. Roosevelt  
7 Lake Log Owners Ass’n, 651 F.2d 1289, 1294 (9th Cir. 1981). In reviewing a complaint under  
8 this standard, the court must accept as true the allegations of the complaint in question, Hospital  
9 Bldg. Co. v. Rex Hosp. Trustees, 425 U.S. 738, 740 (1976), construe the pleading in the light  
10 most favorable to the plaintiff, and resolve all doubts in the plaintiff’s favor, Jenkins v.  
11 McKeithen, 395 U.S. 411, 421 (1969).

12 The court has determined that plaintiff’s complaint does not contain a short and plain  
13 statement showing the court has jurisdiction and why he is entitled to relief as required by Federal  
14 Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a). Although the Federal Rules adopt a flexible pleading policy, a  
15 complaint must give fair notice and state the elements of the claim plainly and succinctly. Jones  
16 v. Community Redev. Agency, 733 F.2d 646, 649 (9th Cir. 1984). Plaintiff’s complaint contains  
17 sentences that are largely incomplete and/or incoherent, making it impossible to discern any basis  
18 for the court’s subject matter jurisdiction. Plaintiff’s complaint also does not seem to include any  
19 cognizable legal theory against defendant. Accordingly, plaintiff has failed to comply with the  
20 requirements of Federal Rule 8(a) and his complaint must be dismissed. The court will, however,  
21 grant plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint.

22 Plaintiff is cautioned that if he chooses to file an amended complaint, he must submit a  
23 short and plain statement in accordance with Federal Rule 8(a) pointing to some cognizable legal  
24 theory that entitles him to relief. Any amended complaint must also show that the federal court  
25 has jurisdiction, the action is brought in the right place, and plaintiff is entitled to relief if his  
26 allegations are true. The amended complaint should contain separately numbered, clearly  
27 identified claims.

28 In addition, the allegations of the complaint must be set forth in sequentially numbered


1 paragraphs, with each paragraph number being one greater than the one before, each paragraph  
2 having its own number, and no paragraph number being repeated anywhere in the complaint.  
3 Each paragraph should be limited “to a single set of circumstances” where possible. Fed. R. Civ.  
4 P. 10(b). Plaintiff must avoid excessive repetition of the same allegations. Plaintiff must avoid  
5 narrative and storytelling. That is, the complaint should not include every detail of what  
6 happened, nor recount the details of conversations (unless necessary to establish the claim), nor  
7 give a running account of plaintiff’s hopes and thoughts. Rather, the amended complaint should  
8 contain only those facts needed to show how the defendant legally wronged the plaintiff.

9 Local Rule 15-220 requires that an amended complaint be complete in itself without  
10 reference to any prior pleading. This is because, as a general rule, an amended complaint  
11 supersedes the original complaint. See Loux v. Rhay, 375 F.2d 55, 57 (9th Cir. 1967). Once  
12 plaintiff files an amended complaint, the original pleading no longer serves any function in the  
13 case. Therefore, in an amended complaint, as in an original complaint, each claim and the  
14 involvement of each defendant must be sufficiently alleged.

15 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 16 1. Plaintiff’s application to proceed in forma pauperis, ECF No. 2, is GRANTED;
- 17 2. Plaintiff’s complaint, ECF No. 1, is dismissed; and
- 18 3. Plaintiff is granted thirty days from the date of service of this order to file an  
19 amended complaint that complies with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,  
20 and the Local Rules of Practice; the amended complaint must bear the docket number assigned  
21 this case and must be labeled “Amended Complaint”; plaintiff must file an original and two  
22 copies of the amended complaint; failure to file an amended complaint in accordance with this  
23 order will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed.

24 DATED: June 4, 2015

25   
26 ALLISON CLAIRE  
27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
28