1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JASON BONDERER,	No. 2:15-cv-1074 KJN P	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER REFERRING CASE TO POST-	
14	CLINGMAN,	SCREENING ADR PROJECT AND STAYING CASE FOR 90 DAYS	
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel. The U.S. Marshal has		
18	accomplished service of process on one defendant.		
19	The undersigned is referring all post-screening civil rights cases filed by pro se inmates to		
20	the Post-Screening ADR (Alternative Dispute Resolution) Project in an effort to resolve such		
21	cases more expeditiously and less expensively. Defense counsel from the Office of the California		
22	Attorney General has agreed to participate in this pilot project. No defenses or objections shall be		
23	waived by their participation.		
24	As set forth in the screening order, plaintiff has stated a potentially cognizable civil rights		
25	claim. Thus, the court stays this action for a period of 90 days to allow the parties to investigate		
26	plaintiff's claims, meet and confer, and then participate in a settlement conference.		
27	////		
28	////		
		1	

There is a presumption that all post-screening civil rights cases assigned to the undersigned will proceed to settlement conference.<sup>1</sup> However, if after investigating plaintiff's claims and speaking with plaintiff, and after conferring with defense counsel's supervisor, defense counsel in good faith finds that a settlement conference would be a waste of resources, defense counsel may move to opt out of this pilot project.

By filing the attached notice within thirty days, the parties shall notify the court whether they waive disqualification for the undersigned to hold the settlement conference or whether they request a different judge. Failure to timely file such notice will result in the case being set for settlement conference before a different judge.

Within thirty days, the assigned Deputy Attorney General shall contact Matt Caspar, Courtroom Deputy, at (916) 930-4187, to schedule the settlement conference. If difficulties arise in scheduling the settlement conference due to the court's calendar, the parties may seek an extension of the initial 90 day stay.

Once the settlement conference is scheduled, at least seven days prior to conference, the parties shall submit to the assigned settlement judge a confidential settlement conference statement. The parties' confidential settlement conference statement shall include the following:

(a) names and locations of the parties; (b) a short statement of the facts and alleged damages; (c) a short procedural history; (d) an analysis of the risk of liability, including a discussion of the efforts made to investigate the allegations; and (e) a discussion of the efforts that have been made to settle the case.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. This action is stayed for 90 days to allow the parties an opportunity to settle their dispute before a responsive pleading is filed, or the discovery process begins. Except as provided herein or by subsequent court order, no other pleadings or other documents may be filed in this case during the stay of this action. The parties shall not engage in formal discovery, but the parties may elect to engage in informal discovery.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If the case does not settle, the court will set a date for the filing of a responsive pleading at the conference.

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JASON BONDERER,	No. 2:15-cv-1074 KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	NOTICE RE: JUDGE ELECTION FOR SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE (POST-
14	CLINGMAN,	SCREENING ADR PROJECT)
15	Defendant.	
16		I
17	As required by court order, the parties notify the court of the following election:	
18	Pursuant to Local Rule 270(b) of the Eastern District of California, the party signing	
19	below affirmatively requests that the assigned Magistrate Judge participate in the settlement	
20	conference and, further, waives any claim of disqualification of the assigned Magistrate Judge on	
21	that basis thereafter. This waiver is not to be construed as consent to the Magistrate Judge's	
22	jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1).	
23	OR The party signing below reques	sts that a different judge hold the settlement
24	conference.	that a different juage note the sectionism
25	DATED:	
26		
27		Plaintiff or Counsel for Defendants
28		
J	,i	1