1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 1:15-cv-00745 SMS (HC) ANDREW MARKIS ALLEN. 12 Petitioner. ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE 13 SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE v. EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 WILLIAM MUNIZ, 15 Respondent. 16 17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to 18 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 19 § 1915. 20 The petitioner is challenging a conviction from Sacramento County, which is part of the 21 Sacramento Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. 22 Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the Sacramento Division. 23 Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper 24 court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action 25 will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY 26 ORDERED that: 27 1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of 28

1	California sitting in Sacramento; and
2	2. All future filings shall reference the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall
3	be filed at:
4	United States District Court Eastern District of California
5	501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814
6	
7	3. This court has not ruled on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis.
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	
10	Dated: May 22, 2015 /s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	