

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PATRICK BLACKSHIRE,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF,  
Defendant.

No. 2:15-cv-1123 MCE CKD PS

## ORDER

Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. Plaintiff has requested authority pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed in forma pauperis. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302(c)(21).

Plaintiff has submitted the affidavit required by § 1915(a) showing that plaintiff is unable to prepay fees and costs or give security for them. Accordingly, the request to proceed in forma pauperis will be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).

The federal in forma pauperis statute authorizes federal courts to dismiss a case if the action is legally “frivolous or malicious,” fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2).

A claim is legally frivolous when it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact. Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221, 1227-28 (9th

1 Cir. 1984). The court may, therefore, dismiss a claim as frivolous where it is based on an  
2 indisputably meritless legal theory or where the factual contentions are clearly baseless. Neitzke,  
3 490 U.S. at 327.

4 In order to avoid dismissal for failure to state a claim a complaint must contain more than  
5 “naked assertions,” “labels and conclusions” or “a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause  
6 of action.” Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555-557 (2007). In other words,  
7 “[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action, supported by mere conclusory  
8 statements do not suffice.” Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009). Furthermore, a claim  
9 upon which the court can grant relief has facial plausibility. Twombly, 550 U.S. at 570. “A  
10 claim has facial plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw  
11 the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged.” Iqbal, 129 S. Ct.  
12 at 1949. When considering whether a complaint states a claim upon which relief can be granted,  
13 the court must accept the allegations as true, Erickson v. Pardus, 127 S. Ct. 2197, 2200 (2007),  
14 and construe the complaint in the light most favorable to the plaintiff, see Scheuer v. Rhodes, 416  
15 U.S. 232, 236 (1974).

16 Plaintiff has filed a two page document which appears to be a form advising plaintiff how  
17 to file a complaint. There are no allegations whatsoever against any named defendant in the  
18 document plaintiff has filed. Accordingly, the court finds the allegations in plaintiff’s complaint  
19 so vague and conclusory that it is unable to determine whether the current action is frivolous or  
20 fails to state a claim for relief. The court has determined that the complaint does not contain a  
21 short and plain statement as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2). Although the Federal Rules  
22 adopt a flexible pleading policy, a complaint must give fair notice and state the elements of the  
23 claim plainly and succinctly. Jones v. Community Redev. Agency, 733 F.2d 646, 649 (9th Cir.  
24 1984). Plaintiff must allege with at least some degree of particularity overt acts which defendants  
25 engaged in that support plaintiff’s claim. Id. Because plaintiff has failed to comply with the  
26 requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2), the complaint must be dismissed. The court will,  
27 however, grant leave to file an amended complaint.

28 ////

If plaintiff chooses to amend the complaint, plaintiff must set forth the jurisdictional grounds upon which the court's jurisdiction depends. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a). Further, plaintiff must demonstrate how the conduct complained of has resulted in a deprivation of plaintiff's federal rights. See Ellis v. Cassidy, 625 F.2d 227 (9th Cir. 1980).

5 In addition, plaintiff is informed that the court cannot refer to a prior pleading in order to  
6 make plaintiff's amended complaint complete. Local Rule 15-220 requires that an amended  
7 complaint be complete in itself without reference to any prior pleading. This is because, as a  
8 general rule, an amended complaint supersedes the original complaint. See Loux v. Rhay, 375  
9 F.2d 55, 57 (9th Cir. 1967). Once plaintiff files an amended complaint, the original pleading no  
10 longer serves any function in the case. Therefore, in an amended complaint, as in an  
11 original complaint, each claim and the involvement of each defendant must be sufficiently  
12 alleged.

13 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

14 1. Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2) is granted;

15 2. Plaintiff's complaint is dismissed; and

16 3. Plaintiff is granted thirty days from the date of service of this order to file an amended

17 complaint that complies with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the

18 Local Rules of Practice; the amended complaint must bear the docket number assigned this case

19 and must be labeled "Amended Complaint"; plaintiff must file an original and two copies of the

20 amended complaint; failure to file an amended complaint in accordance with this order will result

21 in a recommendation that this action be dismissed.

22 | Dated: May 29, 2015

Carol H. Delaney

CAROLYN K. DELANEY  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

25 || 4 blackshire1123.ifp-lta