1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	PATRICK BLACKSHIRE,	No. 2:15-cv-1126 KJM CKD PS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER and FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	SACRAMENTO COUNTY,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	By order filed August 20, 2015, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave	
18	to file an amended complaint was granted. After the thirty day period expired, plaintiff requested	
19	an extension of time to file an amended complaint. The findings and recommendations filed	
20	September 24, 2015 will therefore be vacated. ¹	
21	It is apparent from plaintiff's recent request for an extension of time that the claims raised	
22	in the instant action are duplicative of those raised in an action previously filed by plaintiff, i.e.	
23	Blackshire v. Sacramento County Sheriff, 2:15-cv-1123 MCD CKD PS (E.D. Cal.). In both	
24	cases, plaintiff claims he was wrongfully incarcerated past a date on which he was due to be	
25	released. In the prior action, the District Court has directed appointment of counsel and directed	
26	/////	
27	Plaintiff's request for extension of time was entered on the docket after entry of the findings	
28	and recommendations.	

that the action will proceed on plaintiff's third amended complaint. Under these circumstances, the instant action should be dismissed as duplicative. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Plaintiff's request for extension of time (ECF No. 9) is denied as moot; 2. The findings and recommendations filed September 24, 2015 (ECF No. 8) are vacated; and IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: September 29, 2015 CAROLYN K. DELANEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 4 blackshire1126.dup