1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ERIC ZACHARY ANDERSON,	Case No. 2:15-cv-01148-KJM-JDP (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION THAT PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR	
13	v.	PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION BE DENIED	
14	TIM VIRGA, et al.,	OBJECTIONS DUE WITHIN FOURTEEN DAYS	
15 16	Defendants.	ECF No. 149	
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding without counsel, commenced this action against		
18	defendant Villasenor, alleging that defendant violated his Eighth Amendment rights by using		
19	excessive force. ECF Nos. 23, 26. ¹ In August 2020, the court held a supervised settlement		
20	conference, at which the parties reached a settlement agreement. ECF No. 139. After the parties		
21	filed a stipulation of dismissal, the court dismissed this action with prejudice. ECF Nos. 144,		
22	145. Notwithstanding the dismissal of this action, plaintiff has now filed a motion for injunctive		
23	relief that alleges that Eric Sanchez, who was not a party to this case, arranged for plaintiff's		
24	transfer to a different prison and ignored his single cell status in retaliation for plaintiff seeking		
25			
26			
27	$\frac{1}{1}$ The first amended complaint also all	eged claims against three other defendants, ECF No.	
28		ns against those defendants, ECF Nos. 122, 124.	

1	his settlement money. ² ECF No. 149 at 1. Plaintiff seeks an order enjoining Sanchez from		
2	(1) transferring plaintiff to another prison and (2) housing plaintiff in a cell with other inmates.		
3	Plaintiff's motion must be denied. As a threshold matter, plaintiff's claims have all been		
4	dismissed, foreclosing the court from granting him the relief that he seeks. See Sherman v. City		
5	of Davis, No. CIV S-04-2320-LKK-EFB (PS), 2008 WL 1899926, at *2 (E.D. Cal. Apr. 25,		
6	2008) (noting that a plaintiff cannot seek an injunction on a closed case). Furthermore, plaintiff's		
7	motion seeks relief that has no relation to the claims that were raised in this case. A motion for		
8	preliminary injunction must relate to the allegations in the complaint. See Pac. Radiation		
9	Oncology, LLC v. Queen's Med. Ctr., 810 F.3d 631, 633 (2015) ("When a plaintiff seeks		
10	injunctive relief based on claims not pled in the complaint, the court does not have the authority		
11	to issue an injunction.").		
12	For these reasons, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that plaintiff's motion for preliminary		
13	injunction, ECF No. 149, be denied.		
14	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge		
15	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days of		
16	being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with		
17	the court, serving a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to		
18	Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be		
19	served and filed within fourteen days of service of the objections. The parties are advised that		
20	failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District		
21	Court's order. See Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951		
22	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	² Plaintiff claims that Eric Sanchez is a correctional counselor at California State Prison-		
28	Sacramento. ECF No. 149 at 1-2.		
	2		

1		
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
3	Details October 19, 2021	Veren Peterson
4		EMY D. PETERSON
5	UN	ITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	3	