

1 connection with nondispositive and dispositive motions. *See Phillips*, 307 F.3d 1206; *Foltz v.*
2 *State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122 (9th Cir.2003); *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1172; *In re*
3 *Midland Nat'l Life Ins. Co.*, 686 F.3d 1115, 1119 (9th Cir.2012).

4 For a non-dispositive motion, such as the underlying motion for preliminary injunctive
5 relief, “a particularized showing, . . . under the good cause standard of Rule 26(c) will suffice[] to
6 warrant” sealing. *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1180 (internal quotation marks and citation omitted)
7 (alteration in original). As noted, plaintiff represents he did not know his court filing was made
8 public; he says the information he disclosed could “jeopardize ongoing investigations.” Req. to
9 Seal at 1. Given that the information in the objections is entirely unrelated to plaintiff’s initial
10 claims or any dispositive filing, *see* Findings and Recommendations at 2, and the public nature of
11 his objections may place plaintiff at an increased risk the court finds there is good cause to seal
12 his objections.

13 The court **grants** the request to seal (ECF No. 153). The Clerk of Court is directed to **seal**
14 the objections (ECF No. 151).

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 DATED: August 1, 2022.



CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE