1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	DONALAD WILLIAMS,	No. 2:15-cv-1155 MCE CKD P	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	E. BAKER, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has requested		
18	appointment of counsel. The court cannot <i>require</i> an attorney to represent a plaintiff who cannot		
19	pay for the attorney's services. <u>Mallard v. United States Dist. Court</u> , 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989).		
20	However, under the federal in forma pauperis statute, 28 U.S.C. § 1915, the court may request		
21	that an attorney represent a person unable to afford counsel. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). The court		
22	will make that request only when there are exceptional circumstances. When determining		
23	whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court considers, among other things, plaintiff's		
24	likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro		
25	se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Palmer v. Valdez, 560 F.3d 965, 970		
26	(9th Cir. 2009). While the court is aware of the difficulties attendant to litigating an action while		
27	incarcerated, circumstances common to most prisoners do not establish "exceptional		
28	circumstances."		
		1	

1	In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances at this	
2	stage of these proceedings.	
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for appointment of	
4	counsel (ECF No. 49) is denied without prejudice.	
5	Dated: August 10, 2016	Carop U. Delany
6		CAROLYN K. DELANEY
7		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8		
9		
10	1 will1155.36	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		2
		2