1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	NORMAN RANKINS,	No. 2:15-cv-01164 KJM DB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER
14	ALEXANDER LIU,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a civil rights	
18	action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as	
19	provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On October 17, 2019, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which	
21	were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the	
22	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 76.) Neither	
23	party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.	
24	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602	
25	F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed <i>de novo</i> .	
26	See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the	
27	magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate]	
28	/////	
		1

1	court "). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be	
2	supported by the record and by the proper analysis.	
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed October 17, 2019, are adopted in full;	
5	2. Defendant's motion for summary judgment is granted; and	
6	3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.	
7	DATED: November 19, 2019.	
8	In A mind	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	
	—	