

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BERNARD CLARK,
Plaintiff,
v.
CAPITAL INCOME AND GROWTH
FUND, LLC, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-01171-KJM-DAD

ORDER

On June 23, 2015, plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed a motion for a temporary restraining order (TRO). (ECF No. 3.) It appears plaintiff seeks an order staying the enforcement of a writ of possession issued by the Toulumne County Superior Court in an unlawful detainer action. (*Id.* at 2.) Plaintiff does not provide a date of enforcement. “Under the *Rooker–Feldman* doctrine, however, federal courts lack jurisdiction to review the propriety of state court rulings, including a writ of possession rendered during the course of a state court unlawful detainer proceeding.” *Tucker v. Fed. Nat. Mortgage Ass’n*, No. 13-01874, 2013 WL 5159730, at *1 (E.D. Cal. Sept. 12, 2013) (collecting cases); *see also Drawsand v. F.F. Properties, L.L.P.*, 866 F. Supp. 2d 1110, 1123 (N.D. Cal. 2011) (“To the extent that [the plaintiff] is attempting to challenge the adverse ruling in the [unlawful detainer] action, such claim is barred under the *Rooker–Feldman* doctrine.”). Accordingly, the court DENIES plaintiff’s motion.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 23, 2015.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE