1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ZACHARIAH DANIELS, No. 15-cv-1264 GEB AC P 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER 14 R. FOX, Warden, et al., Defendants. 16 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this ci rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United 5	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  ZACHARIAH DANIELS, Plaintiff, v. R. FOX, Warden, et al., Defendants.  Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this cirights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United in the state of	
4 5 6 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ZACHARIAH DANIELS, No. 15-cv-1264 GEB AC P 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER 14 R. FOX, Warden, et al., Defendants. 16 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this ci rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United 5	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  ZACHARIAH DANIELS, Plaintiff,  V. ORDER  R. FOX, Warden, et al., Defendants.  Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this cirights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States.	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  ZACHARIAH DANIELS, Plaintiff, V. ORDER  R. FOX, Warden, et al., Defendants.  Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this cirights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States.	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  ZACHARIAH DANIELS, Plaintiff, V. ORDER  R. FOX, Warden, et al., Defendants.  Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this cirights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States.	
B UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  10 11 ZACHARIAH DANIELS, Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER  14 R. FOX, Warden, et al., 15 Defendants.  Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this cirights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United State of the country of the	
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  10  11 ZACHARIAH DANIELS, Plaintiff, v. ORDER  14 R. FOX, Warden, et al., Defendants.  Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this cirights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
Tacharian Daniels,  Plaintiff,  v.  R. FOX, Warden, et al.,  Defendants.  Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this cirights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United State of the circumstance of the control of the circumstance of the circumsta	
ZACHARIAH DANIELS,  Plaintiff,  v.  R. FOX, Warden, et al.,  Defendants.  Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this cirights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United State of State	
Plaintiff,  V. ORDER  R. FOX, Warden, et al.,  Defendants.  Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this circles rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United State of the control	
V. ORDER  R. FOX, Warden, et al.,  Defendants.  Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this cirights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United State of the control of	
R. FOX, Warden, et al.,  Defendants.  Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this circular rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United State of the control of th	
Defendants.  Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this circles rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United State of the control o	
Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this ci rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United State of the control of t	
Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this ci rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United State of the control of t	
rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United State of the control o	
	vil
	States
Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
On November 29, 2016, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations	herein
which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections	to the
findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. ECF No. 31. Plain	iff has
not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.	
The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be	
supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HERI	BY
ORDERED that:	
1. The findings and recommendations filed November 29, 2016, are adopted in fu	
28 ////	ll; and

1	2. Plaintiff's motions for extraordinary relief, ECF Nos. 23, 25, and 26 are denied.
2	DATED:
3	Dated: December 28, 2016
4	
5	ANE Pull
6	GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR. Senior United States District Judge
7	Senior United States District Judge
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	