

1 if there is an intervening change in controlling law.” Id. at 1263. Here, the Court’s October 5,
2 2015 Order was not clearly erroneous nor manifestly unjust, and none of the other factors apply.

3 Accordingly, Plaintiff’s Motion to Alter or Amendment Judgement (ECF No. 16) is
4 DENIED.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: November 23, 2015

7
8 
9 _____
10 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR., CHIEF JUDGE
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28