I

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	BRAD ROBERT MILLER,	No. 2:15-cv-1365 JAM CKD P
12	Petitioner,	
13	V.	ORDER
14	SCOTT FRAUENHEIM,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, commenced this action pursuant to 28	
18	U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28	
19	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On March 18, 2016, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein	
21	which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings	
22	and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither party has filed objections to	
23	the findings and recommendations.	
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this	
25	court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the	
26	court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper	
27	analysis.	
28		1
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed March 18, 2016 are adopted in full;	
3	2. Petitioner's motion for stay (ECF No. 22) is granted as follows:	
4	a. The amended petition (ECF No. 18) is dismissed as "mixed";	
5	b. No later than thirty days after dismissal, petitioner shall file a second amended	
6	petition containing only exhausted claims (Claims 2 and 3);	
7	c. Failure to timely file such an amended petition will result in this action being	
8	closed; and	
9	d. Upon receipt of a fully exhausted second amended petition, that court will	
10	administratively stay this action pursuant to Kelly, pending exhaustion of Claim 1 in the	
11	California Supreme Court.	
12	3. Respondent's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 21) is granted as consistent with the above;	
13	4. Petitioner's motion to amend traverse (ECF No. 25) is denied as inconsistent with this	
14	order; and	
15	5. This action is referred back to the Magistrate Judge for further proceedings, including	
16	review of the proposed Second Amended Petition (ECF No. 28).	
17	DATED: May 20, 2016	
18	/s/ John A. Mendez	
19	United States District Court Judge	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	2 / mill1365.804.hc	
26		
27		
28		
	2	