

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed March 18, 2016 are adopted in full;

2. Petitioner’s motion for stay (ECF No. 22) is granted as follows:

a. The amended petition (ECF No. 18) is dismissed as “mixed”;

b. No later than thirty days after dismissal, petitioner shall file a second amended petition containing only exhausted claims (Claims 2 and 3);

c. Failure to timely file such an amended petition will result in this action being closed; and

d. Upon receipt of a fully exhausted second amended petition, that court will administratively stay this action pursuant to Kelly, pending exhaustion of Claim 1 in the California Supreme Court.

3. Respondent’s motion to dismiss (ECF No. 21) is granted as consistent with the above;

4. Petitioner’s motion to amend traverse (ECF No. 25) is denied as inconsistent with this order; and

5. This action is referred back to the Magistrate Judge for further proceedings, including review of the proposed Second Amended Petition (ECF No. 28).

DATED: May 20, 2016

/s/ John A. Mendez

United States District Court Judge