1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DANIEL MAURICE CARTER,	No. 2:15-cv-1381 KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	SOLANO COUNTY SHERIFF'S	
15	OFFICE, et al., Defendants.	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Plaintiff consented to proceed before the undersigned for all purposes. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C.	
19	§ 636(c). By order filed November 17, 2015, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days	
20	leave to file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and	
21	plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.	
22	Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the order was returned, plaintiff	
23	was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current	
24	address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of	
25	the party is fully effective.	
26	////	
27	////	
28	////	

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). Dated: April 5, 2016 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE /cart1381.fta.nca