1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SAAHDI COLEMAN,	No. 2:15-cv-1434-EFB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	C. DAVIS, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a county inmate proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28	
19	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).	
20	On January 27, 2017, the court dismissed the complaint with leave to amend. That order	
21	informed plaintiff of the deficiencies in his complaint and directed him to file an amended	
22	complaint within thirty days. ECF No. 5. The court also warned plaintiff that failure to comply	
23	with the order would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. Id. On March 7,	
24	2017, the court granted plaintiff an additional thirty days in which to file his amended complaint.	
25	The time for acting has passed and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise	
26	responded to the court's order.	
27	A party's failure to comply with any order or with the Local Rules "may be grounds for	
28	imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the	
		1

1	inherent power of the Court." E.D. Cal. Local Rule 110. The court may dismiss an action with or	
2	without prejudice, as appropriate, if a party disobeys an order or the Local Rules. See Ferdik v.	
3	Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1263 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did not abuse discretion in	
4	dismissing pro se plaintiff's complaint for failing to obey an order to re-file an amended	
5	complaint to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439,	
6	1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for pro se plaintiff's failure to comply with local rule	
7	regarding notice of change of address affirmed).	
8	Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the Clerk is directed to randomly assign a	
9	United States District Judge to this case.	
10	Further, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without	
11	prejudice. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); E. D. Cal. Local Rule 110.	
12	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
13	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
14	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written	
15	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned	
16	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the	
17	objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The	
18	parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to	
19	appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez	
20	v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
21	Dated: May 9, 2017.	
22	EDMUND F. BRENNAN	
23	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	