1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RAY CARRILLO,	No. 2:15-cv-1461-EFB P
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	ORDER
14	PLACER COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner is a state prisoner without counsel seeking a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to	
18	28 U.S.C. § 2254. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28	
19	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and is before the undersigned pursuant to petitioner's consent. See 28 U.S.C.	
20	§ 636; see also E.D. Cal. Local Rules, Appx. A, at (k)(4).	
21	On July 15, 2015, the court reviewed the petition pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules	
22	Governing Section 2254 Cases. The court found that petitioner had not properly completed the	
23	form petition by responding to the questions raised therein. As drafted, the court was unable to	
24	determine the nature of petitioner's intended grounds for relief or discharge its duty under Rule 4.	
25	ECF No. 10. The order granted petitioner thirty days in which to file an amended petition and	
26	warned him that failure to comply would result in this action being dismissed. The time for	
27	acting has passed and petitioner has not filed an amended petition, or otherwise responded to the	
28	court's order.	
		1

1	A party's failure to comply with any order or with the Local Rules "may be grounds for	
2	imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the	
3	inherent power of the Court." E.D. Cal. Local Rule 110. The court may dismiss an action with or	
4	without prejudice, as appropriate, if a party disobeys an order or the Local Rules. See Ferdik v.	
5	Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1263 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did not abuse discretion in	
6	dismissing pro se plaintiff's complaint for failing to obey an order to re-file an amended	
7	complaint to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439,	
8	1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for pro se plaintiff's failure to comply with local rule	
9	regarding notice of change of address affirmed).	
10	Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED and the court	
11	declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	
12	Dated: August 31, 2015.	
13	Elmind F. Bilman	
14	EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	