1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOESPH K. GORE,	No. 2:15-cv-1530 KJN P
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	STU SHERMAN,	<u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas	
18	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis	
19	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.	
20	Examination of the in forma pauperis affidavit reveals that petitioner is unable to afford	
21	the costs of suit. Accordingly, the request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis is granted. See	
22	28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).	
23	The court's records reveal that petitioner previously filed an application for a writ of	
24	habeas corpus attacking the conviction and sentence challenged in this case. The previous	
25	application was filed on February 27, 2008, and was denied on the merits on July 9, 2012. Before	
26	petitioner can proceed with the instant application, he must move in the United States Court of	
27	Appeals for the Ninth Circuit for an order authorizing the district court to consider the	
28		1
		L

1	application. 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3). Therefore, petitioner's application must be dismissed	
2	without prejudice to its re-filing upon obtaining authorization from the United States Court of	
3	Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.	
4	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
5	1. Petitioner's application to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2) is granted;	
6	2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to assign a district judge to this case; and	
7	IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.	
8	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
9	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
10	after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written	
11	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's	
12	Findings and Recommendations." Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the	
13	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951	
14	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
15	Dated: July 23, 2015	
16	Ferdall D. Newman	
17	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
18	/gore1530.succ	
19	/gore1550.succ	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	