1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MORGAN MARIE GOMES,	No. 2:15-cv-1546 TLN DB PS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER
14 15	NICK BOONE, Placer County Probation Officer; MR. WILLIAMS, employee at Placer County Juvenile Detention Center; PLACER COUNTY PROBATION	
16	OFFICE; and Does 1through 20,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. This matter was, therefore, referred to the	
20	undersigned in accordance with Local Rule 302(c)(21) and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).	
21	On March 7, 2017, defendants filed a motion for summary judgment and noticed that	
22	motion for hearing before the undersigned on April 7, 2017. (ECF No. 35.) Pursuant to Local	
23	Rule 230(c) plaintiff was to file opposition or a statement of non-opposition to defendants'	
24	motion "not less than fourteen (14) days preceding the noticed hearing date." Plaintiff,	
25	however, has failed to file a timely opposition or statement of non-opposition.	
26	The failure of a party to comply with the Local Rules or any order of the court "may be	
27	grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or	
28	within the inherent power of the Court." Local Rule 110. Any individual representing himself or	

1	herself without an attorney is bound by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules, and	
2	all applicable law. Local Rule 183(a). Failure to comply with applicable rules and law may be	
3	grounds for dismissal or any other sanction appropriate under the Local Rules. Id.	
4	In light of plaintiff's pro se status, and in the interests of justice, the court will provide	
5	plaintiff with an opportunity to show good cause for plaintiff's conduct along with a final	
6	opportunity to oppose defendants' motion.	
7	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
8	1. Plaintiff show cause in writing within fourteen days of the date of this order as to why	
9	this case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution ¹ ;	
10	2. The April 7, 2017 hearing of defendants' motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 35)	
11	is continued to Friday, May 26, 2017, at 10:00 a.m., at the United States District Court, 501 I	
12	Street, Sacramento, California, in Courtroom No. 27, before the undersigned;	
13	3. On or before May 12, 2017, plaintiff shall file a statement of opposition or non-	
14	opposition to defendants' motion for summary judgment; and	
15	4. Plaintiff is cautioned that the failure to timely comply with this order may result in a	
16	recommendation that this case be dismissed.	
17	Dated: March 30, 2017	
18	turnst	
19	filland	
20	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
21		
22	DLB:6 DB/orders/orders.pro se/gomes1546.osc.cont	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	¹ Alternatively, if plaintiff no longer wishes to pursue this civil action, plaintiff may comply with this order by filing a request for voluntary dismissal pursuant to Rule 41(a) of the Federal Rules	
28	of Civil Procedure.	
	2	