1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 KASEY F. HOFFMAN, No. 2:15-cv-1558 KJN P 12 Plaintiff, 13 V. ORDER AND FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS 14 LASSEN ADULT DETENTION FACILITY, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 On February 8, 2016, an order served on plaintiff's address of record was returned by the 18 19 postal service. On February 22, 2016, plaintiff filed a request for case status. However, this 20 pleading does not contain a new address for plaintiff. 21 It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 183(b), which requires that a 22 party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall assign a district 23 judge to this action; and 24 25 IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice for 26 failure to prosecute. See Local Rule 183(b). 27 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 28 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days 1

1	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections
2	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings
3	and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen
4	days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
5	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
6	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
7	Dated: March 15, 2016
8	Ferdal & Newman
9	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10	
11	Hoff1558.33a
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	