

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KASEY F. HOFFMAN,
Plaintiff,
v.
LASSEN ADULT DETENTION
FACILITY, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2: 15-cv-1558 JAM KJN P


ORDER

Plaintiff has filed a document entitled “Motion for Discovery.” (ECF No. 28.) Plaintiff is informed that court permission is not necessary for discovery requests and that neither discovery requests served on an opposing party nor that party’s responses should be filed until such time as a party becomes dissatisfied with a response and seeks relief from the court pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Discovery requests between the parties shall not be filed with the court unless, and until, they are at issue.

///
///
///
///
///

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's "Motion for Discovery" (ECF
2 No. 28.) will be disregarded. Plaintiff is cautioned that further filing of discovery requests or
3 responses, except as required by rule of court, may result in an order of sanctions, including, but
4 not limited to, a recommendation that this action be dismissed.

5 Dated: June 27, 2016

6 
7 _____
8 KENDALL J. NEWMAN
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 Hoff1558.411

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28