

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ADRIANNA WORMUTH, SCOTT
WORMUTH and H.W., a minor, by and
through his guardians ad litem
ADRIANNA WORMUTH AND SCOTT
WORMUTH,

Plaintiffs,

v.

LAMMERSVILLE UNION SCHOOL
DISTRICT, JAMES YEAGER, DAWN
IBBS, TERESA HAUN, KIRK
NICHOLAS, and KHUSHWINDER GILL,
and DOES 1-30,

Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-1572-KJM-EFB

ORDER AFTER HEARING

This case was before the court on May 3, 2017, for hearing on plaintiffs’ motion for sanctions (ECF No. 51) and defendants’ request for reconsideration of the court’s April 11, 2017 order (ECF No. 47), as well as further hearing on plaintiffs’ motion to compel responses to Requests for Production of Documents and Special Interrogatories (ECF Nos. 36, 47). Attorneys Rhonda Kraeber and Ian Hansen appeared on behalf of the plaintiff; attorney Stephanie Wu appeared on behalf of defendants Lammersville Union School District, James Yeager, Dawn Ibbs, Kirk Nicholas, and Khushwinder Gill.

////

1 For the reasons stated on the record, plaintiffs' motion for sanctions and defendants'
2 request for reconsideration are denied, and plaintiffs' motion to compel is granted as follows:

3 1. Plaintiffs' motion to compel is granted as to plaintiffs' Request for Production of
4 Document Number 13. Defendants' counsel shall immediately notify the parents that have
5 objected to the disclosure of their identifies and contact information that they must, by no later
6 than May 17, 2017, file a motion for a protective order in compliance with Local Rule 251 that
7 provides a legal basis supporting their objections to the disclosure of such information. *See* 34
8 C.F.R. § 99.31(a)(9). Defendants shall not produce the names or contact information of any
9 parent that files a motion for a protective order until such motion is resolved by the court. Should
10 an objecting parent fail to file such a motion, defendants shall produce his or her name and
11 contact information by May 18, 2017. As for parents that have not objected, defendants shall
12 produce their names and contact information by close of business on May 5, 2017.

13 2. Plaintiffs' motion to compel is granted as to Request for Production of Documents
14 Numbers 1 and 35. Defendants shall produce all documents responsive to this request by May 5,
15 2017. The production shall include all post-Altamont/Questa school documents and unredacted
16 versions of documents previously produced with redactions. However, defendants are not
17 required to produce unredacted documents from students whose parents have objected to the
18 disclosure of records until resolution of any related motion for a protective order.

19 3. The court's prior order granting plaintiff's motion to compel further responses to
20 Request for Production of Documents Number 21 is confirmed. *See* ECF No. 42. Defendants
21 shall produce all documents responsive to this request by no later than May 17, 2017.

22 DATED: May 4, 2017.

23 
24 EDMUND F. BRENNAN
25 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26
27
28