2 3 4	Henry M. Burgoyne, III, State Bar No. 203748 BURGOYNE LAW GROUP 870 Market Street, Suite 985 San Francisco, California 94102 (916) 209-0910 – Tel. (415) 680-2335 – Facsimile			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff SOURCIS, Inc.			
6	James Kachmar, State Bar No. 216781  weintraub tobin chediak coleman grodin			
7	law corporation 400 Capitol Mall, 11th Floor			
8 9	Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 558-6000 – Main (916) 446-1611 – Facsimile			
10	Attorneys for Non-Party Witness BRAVO DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLC			
11				
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13				
14				
15	SOURCIS, INC, a California corporation,	) Case No.: 2:15-cv-01588-TLN-KJN		
16	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER		
17	V.	RE: CONTINUANCE OF HEARING DATE ON NON-PARTY WITNESS BRAVO		
18 19	BRAVO DEVELOPMENT GROUP, INC., a California corporation; et al.,	DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLC'S MOTION TO QUASH, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE,		
20	Defendants.	MOTION TO MODIFY SUBPOENA		
21		) ) Complaint Filed: July 23, 2015 ) FAC Filed: September 18, 2015		
22		The filed. September 10, 2015		
23	Plaintiff SOURCIS, INC. ("Plaintiff") a	nd Non-Party Witness Bravo Development		
24	Group, LLC ("Bravo LLC"), by and through their counsel of record, hereby submit the			
25	following Stipulation and [ <del>Proposed</del> ] Order re: Continuance of Hearing Date on Non-			
26	Party Witness Bravo LLC's Motion to Quash, or in the alternative, Motion to Modif			
27	Subpoena ("Motion to Quash") (Dckt. # 25):			

28

1	1.	WHEREAS, on November 6, 2015, plaintiff served Non-Party Witness Bravo		
2	LLC with a s	LLC with a subpoena duces tecum requiring the production of responsive documents by		
3	10 a.m. on November 16, 2015;			
4	2.	WHEREAS, on November 16, 2015, Non-Party Witness Bravo LLC filed its		
5	Motion to C	Quash, and scheduled the hearing on the motion for December 17, 2015;		
6	3.	WHEREAS, the parties to this action have reached an agreement to settle all		
7	disputes be	etween them, including the claims asserted in this action, and are in the		
8	process of p	f preparing a formal settlement agreement;		
9	4.	WHEREAS, plaintiff and Bravo LLC believe that it is in the interests of the		
10	parties and	nd the Court to continue the hearing on Bravo LLC's Motion to Quash so that the		
11	settlement (	ment documents can be finalized and a stipulation for dismissal of this action filed		
12	with the Co	ith the Court.		
13	THEREFORE, plaintiff and Bravo LLC hereby stipulate and request the Court to issue			
14	an Order that:			
15	1.	The hearing on Bravo LLC's Motion to Quash is continued to January 21,		
16	2016.			
17	IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
18	Dated: Dec	ember 10, 2015	BURGOYNE LAW GROUP	
19			D ( / 11 AA D	
20			By: <u>/s/ - Henry M. Burgoyne, III</u> Henry M. Burgoyne, III Attorneys for Plaintiff Sourcis, Inc.	
21			Attorneys for Plaintiff Sourcis, Inc.	
22	Dated: Dece	mber 10, 2015	WEINTRAUB TOBIN CHEDIAK COLEMAN GRODIN	
23			Law Corporation	
24			By: <u>/s/ - James Kachmar</u> James Kachmar	
25				
26			Attorneys for Non-Party Witness Bravo Development Group, LLC	
27	///			
28				

## weintraub tobin chediak coleman grodin law corporation

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 14, 2015

KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

{1987664.DOC;}